LCAP Year	2017-18	2018–19	☐ 2019 – 20
LO/11 I Cai	Z Z 0 1 7 - 1 0		

Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update (LCAP) Template

Addendum: General instructions & regulatory requirements.

Appendix A: Priorities 5 and 6 Rate Calculations

Appendix B: Guiding Questions: Use as prompts (not limits)

LCFF Evaluation Rubrics [Note: this text will be hyperlinked to the LCFF Evaluation Rubric web page when it becomes available.]: Essential data to support completion of this LCAP. Please analyze the LEA's full data set; specific links to the rubrics are also provided within the template.

LEA Name

Coronado Unified School District

Contact Name and Title

Karl Mueller, Superintendent

Email and Phone

karl.mueller@coronadousd.net

619 522-8900, x1025

2017-20 Plan Summary

THE STORY

Briefly describe the students and community and how the LEA serves them.



Coronado Unified School District (CUSD) is a preschool through adult education district located in the city of Coronado near San Diego. CUSD staff members strive every day to ensure our vision and mission statements are fulfilled through our students' well-being and preparedness for their futures. The District is contiguous with the boundaries of the entire Coronado community. All information about our schools and programs can be found at www.coronadousd.net

Our Vision:

We inspire, innovate, and create limitless opportunities to thrive.

Our Mission:

Quality Education for Life - Through rigorous academic standards, high expectations, and a coordinated curriculum, the Coronado Unified School District, in partnership with our community, will graduate students with the knowledge and skills necessary to excel in higher education, careers, society, and life with the confidence not only to dream, but to determine their futures.

Our Board Goals:

- 1. **LEARNING:** Integrate personalized learning with assessment methods that will prepare all students for academic and vocational success.
- 2. **COMMUNICATION:** Communicate openly, freely, and accurately to engage and involve all shareholders.
- 3. **SUPPORT:** Maintain safe and supportive schools where students and staff thrive.

The Basics:

- Locally elected five-member Board of Education; superintendent employed by the Board
- Four schools: Coronado High School, Coronado Middle School, Silver Strand Elementary School, and Village Elementary School
- 372 employees: 193 certificated (teachers, counselors, speech therapists, etc.); 159 classified (instructional assistants, custodians, maintenance and grounds staff, etc.); 20 certificated and classified administrators (superintendent, assistant superintendent, principals, assistant principals, directors)
- Enrollment: 3064 (CBEDS October 2016)
 - Coronado High School: 1211 students
 - o Coronado Middle School: 709 students
 - o Village Elementary: 803 students
 - Strand Elementary: 335 students
 - Non-public schools: 6 students
- Demographics (2016-17):
 - 11.7% interdistrict transfer students, including children of parents who work but don't live in Coronado; 11.65% students with Individual Education Plans (IEPs)
 - 36% of students are connected to military (based on federal survey cards completed annually)
 - Strand Elementary: 79%
 - Village Elementary: 40%
 - Coronado Middle School: 37%
 - Coronado High School: 28%
 - Total Unduplicated Count: 10.8%
 - English Learners 3.2% (98 students); Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students 7% (332 students); Foster Youth and Homeless Students: ~.001% (4 students)
- Achievement:
 - Graduation rate: 97.6% (4-year cohort graduation rate for the class of 2015-16)
 - UC A-G rate: 80% in 2015-16
 - Advanced Placement: 33.3% participation rate in 2015-16 with a pass rate of 73%
- Programs and Services that we are proud to include in our instructional program:
 - o 1:1 devices to students, with a robust network infrastructure
 - STEAM courses and enrichment offerings in Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Math and several that integrate these content areas, including Robotics and Computer Science
 - Many Advanced Placement courses
 - Career Technical Education (CTE) courses and CTE pathways in Engineering and Engineering Design; Health Sciences and Medical Technology, Design, Visual, and Media Arts; Performing Arts; Production and Managerial Arts; and Cabinetmaking, Millwork, and Woodworking
 - Coronado School of the Arts (CoSA), a conservatory arts program within Coronado High School, offering 6 conservatories.
 - Four Department of Defense Education Activity (DoDEA) grants; currently under operation are Project M3: Mathematics, Mindset and Mastery (1.25 million dollars through 2020) and Project Arts for Learning (1.25 million dollars through 2021); a 2017 grant application was submitted focused on reading and STEAM education (pending notification circa early August 2017)
 - Silver Strand State Preschool and Crown Preschool, programs for three and four-year-old children
 - NJROTC
 - Athletics at Coronado Middle and High Schools
 - Adult education classes

CUSD and our shareholders are very proud of our incredible students and of the entire staff of dedicated, talented certificated and classified employees who support them!

LCAP HIGHLIGHTS

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

Key features in the 2017-18 LCAP are the following:

- -Preparing students for college and career is both a CUSD strength of our district due to the dedicated and professional certificated, classified, and administrative staff, as well as our caring, involved families and community. This plan outlines several key actions to maintain that focus and support content areas that are transitioning to new standards, frameworks, and assessments. College and career readiness is evidenced by many metrics including CHS graduation rate, UC A-G rate, Advanced Placement participation and achievement, SBAC achievement scores.
- -Most Coronado students feel supported, connected to, and safe at school, as evidenced by results from the CA Healthy Kids Survey and many social emotional supports that are in place.
- -CUSD strives to deliver personalized learning for all students, and personalized professional development for staff. Classified staff professional development is increasing and an area of focus for SY 2017-18.
- -Academic systems to support general education students who are not meeting grade level standards continue to be a need, and there are several key actions relating to assessment, data analysis, and provision of interventions to support their growth, especially considering a growing English Learner population, and our highly mobile, large military population. Professional development for coteaching and an understanding of universal design for learning is a priority for all sites.
- -Communication key actions support steps to continue to survey shareholders throughout the year, simplify communication systems from the district and schools to parents, and restructure communication between the District Office and Learning Department with each elementary grade level and secondary department.
- -There is significant shareholder input into the 2017-18 LCAP, which was ongoing throughout the 2016-17 school year.

REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

The Coronado High School graduation rate maintained its status as being the highest in San Diego County among unified school districts, at 96.4% (2015-16 data).

40 graduates received the distinction of the Seal of Biliteracy in 2016 (Year 1); 23 graduates will receive this honor in 2017 (Year 2).

More students took more Career Technical Education (CTE) courses in 2015-16 due to new CTE course additions per Career Technical Education Incentive Grant (CTEIG), and 99% of students in CTE capstone courses maintained a "C" or better.

GREATEST PROGRESS

In 2015-16, the AP achievement rates for students scoring a 3, 4, or 5 increased to 73% (+1% higher than expected). The percent of students scoring a 5 increased by 2% (1% higher than expected). Theses outcome were MET.

Per CA School Dashboard, spring 2016 data on student achievement in English Language Arts shows that CUSD status was maintained in the Very High range, including Silver Strand and Village Elementary Schools, and Coronado Middle School (CHS data will be included in the college and career readiness indicator, available in the future). 2016 data on student achievement in mathematics was maintained in the High Status Range for Silver Strand and Village Elementary Schools, and Coronado Middle School, with the highest increases at Village Elementary School. 2016 data on student suspension shows that suspensions decreased at Coronado High School.

CUSD was the recipient of a 2016 Department of Defense Education Activity (DoDEA) Grant for 1.25 million to support TK-12 arts integration through 2021 via *Project Arts for Learning*. This is the fourth grant that CUSD has received from DoDEA. Currently, *Project M3: Mathematics, Mindset, and Mastery* is also being implemented with evidence of growth in mathematics instruction and student achievement (through 2020).

Military-connected students remain CUSD's largest subgroup, crossing all other demographic subgroups (~39% in 2016-17). Spring 2016 SBAC data shows that military-connected students performed better in ELA over non-military students by 1% and by 5% in mathematics. Military-connected students also showed academic gains of 1% in ELA over Spring 2015 SBAC and 9% in math.

As the number of CUSD English Learners grew from 81 in 2015-16 to 98 in 2016-17, with additional increases to the Reclassified Fluent English Proficient population, more robust services were added support these students, including additional designated instructional time in English Language Development, improved instructional resources, and district oversight of all components of the EL program.

In 2016-17, 80% of English Learners made at least one year's growth as measured by CELDT, an increase of 6.5% over 2015-16. In addition, the percent of students reclassified increased by 20%, from 19% in 2015-16 to 40% in 2016-17.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or where the LEA received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. Additionally, identify any areas that the LEA has determined need significant improvement based on review of local performance indicators or other local indicators. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

Per CA School Dashboard and other CUSD data, recent data shows that approximately 27% of CUSD students district-wide are underperforming in English Language Arts and 35% in mathematics based on 2016 SBAC and MAP results. Demographic subgroups referenced below are subgroups of the total percent of underperforming students.

- Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students (~3%), Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students (8%), and Students with Disabilities (11.65%) are not performing as high as White (73%) and Asian (3%) subgroups.
- Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged (#112), Students with Disabilities (#188), and students who have Two or More Races (#86) are either not performing at mastery level in English language arts or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
- In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Disabilities was 27.9 points below level 3, and they also declined 13.7 points over spring 2015 (#188).
- In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students declined 5.8 points over spring 2015 (#112).
- In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Two or More Races declined 1.6 points over spring 2015 (#86).
- Per spring 2016 data, Students with Disabilities (#188) and students who are Asian (#38) are either not performing at mastery level in mathematics or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
- In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Students with Disabilities (#188) was 48.1 points below level 3, and they also declined 9.2 points over spring 2015.
- In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Asian students (#38) declined significantly by 11.9 points over spring 2015.
- Per 2014-15 data, suspensions increased at Coronado Middle School (52

GREATEST NEEDS

- students (6.6%) from 45 students (5.6% from the previous year), especially for students who are white, socioeconomically disadvantaged, and Hispanic/Latino students.
- Per 2014-15 data, the graduation rate for students who are Hispanic or Latino decreased by 3.5%, the difference between the 2014-15 graduation rate of 92.7% and the prior three-year average of 96.2%.

CUSD will address these performance gaps by ensuring timely data analysis, placement in supports, and monitoring of all student subgroup performance per all state indicators by site administration working more closely with identified and trained Teachers on Special Assignment in Mathematics/Science and ELA/ELD, Student Services Department leadership, and new teacher data leaders for each elementary grade level and secondary department, a new structure for oversight of data/monitoring, professional learning, and communication.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these performance gaps?

Per academic performance gaps on CA School Dashboard:

- Recent data shows that approximately 27% of CUSD students district-wide are underperforming in English Language Arts and 35% in mathematics based on 2016 CAASPP and MAP results. Overall, Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students (3%), Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students (8%), and Students with Disabilities (11.65%) are not performing as high as White (73%) and Asian (3%) subgroups.
- Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged (#112), students with disabilities (#188), and students who have Two or More Races (#86) are either not performing at mastery level in English language arts or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
 - In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Disabilities was 27.9 points below level 3, and they also declined 13.7 points over spring 2015.
 - In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students declined 5.8 points over spring 2015.
 - In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Two or More Races declined 1.6 points over spring 2015.
- Students with Disabilities (#188) and students who are Asian (#38)) are either not performing at mastery level in mathematics or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
 - o In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Students with Disabilities was 48.1 points below level 3, and they also declined 9.2 points over spring 2015.
 - o In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Asian students declined significantly by 11.9 points over spring 2015.

CUSD will address these performance gaps by ensuring timely data analysis, placement in supports, and monitoring of all student subgroup performance per state indicators by site administration working more closely with identified and trained Teachers on Special Assignment in Mathematics/Science and ELA/ELD, Student Services Department leadership, and new teacher data leaders for each elementary grade level and secondary department, a new structure for oversight of data/monitoring, professional learning, and communication.

PERFORMANCE GAPS

INCREASED OR IMPROVED SERVICES

If not previously addressed, identify the two to three most significant ways that the LEA will increase or improve services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.

CUSD will ensure timely and ongoing data analysis, placement in appropriate services, and ongoing monitoring for all unduplicated student populations, including CUSD's growing EL population.

CUSD will increase EL District Resource Teacher position from .5 FTE to 1.0 FTE with a stronger focus on the ELA/ELD Framework as a guiding document. The TOSA will serve as overall case carrier for monitoring district EL and RFEP performance.

Dedicated professional development for teachers and paraprofessionals on integrated English language development (ELD) instructional strategies and universal design for learning is planned for the coming years.

Based on data and available resources, CUSD will increase intervention services and ensure that unduplicated populations are served, overseen by the Learning and Student Services departments.

Achieve 3000 will be purchased on a broader scale to improve literacy/reading for identified students in grades 6-12.

BUDGET SUMMARY

Complete the table below. LEAs may include additional information or more detail, including graphics.

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total General Fund Budget Expenditures for LCAP Year	\$36,061,586
Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for LCAP Year	\$34,420,195

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool but may not describe all General Fund Budget Expenditures. Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year not included in the LCAP.

Sources/Uses NOT INCLUDED in LCAP:		
CUSD Foundations and Parent Teacher Organizations	991,406	
Child Care	323,138	
Federal Funding through ESSA (Title I, II & III)	227,895	
California Clean Energy Jobs Act	98,952	
Total:	\$ 1,641,391	

\$ 23,975,972	Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year

Annual Update

LCAP Year Reviewed: XXXX-XX

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1:

Integrate personalized learning with assessment methods that will prepare all students for academic and vocational success.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE \boxtimes 1 \boxtimes 2 \boxtimes 3 \boxtimes 4 \boxtimes 5 \boxtimes 6 \boxtimes 7 \boxtimes 8

COE 9 10

LOCAL Governing Board Goal 1

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED

- Students will receive instruction that is aligned to the Common Core State Standards, ELD standards, and increasingly aligned to Next Generation Science Standards.
- 2. All students will have standards-aligned instructional materials, as measured by annual inventory/Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, professional development documents (topics, participants, and expenses)
- **3.** 100% of CUSD teachers will be appropriately credentialed and assigned as evidenced by annual credential audit (maintain baseline).
- 4. Achievement in English Language Arts and mathematics for grades 3-8 and 11 will increase 3% over spring 2015 baseline, including for Students with Disabilities, English Learners/RFEP students, Low Income/Foster/Homeless Youth, and military-connected students.

ACTUAL

- 1. This outcome was MET including a transition plan for NGSS was developed.
- 2. This outcome was MET. This includes a variety of instructional materials including textbooks, teacher-created instructional materials, and licenses.
- **3.** This outcome was MET.
- **4.** This outcome was MET in mathematics (+5% overall growth) but not in ELA (-1% overall growth). For additional information, go to www.coronadousd.net/learning-instruction/assessment.

Recent data shows that approximately 27% of CUSD students district-wide are underperforming in English Language Arts and 35% in mathematics based on 2016 CAASPP and MAP results. (See Greatest Needs and Performance Gaps section pgs. 4-5 for more information.)

- -Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students, and Students with Disabilities are not performing as high as White and Asian subgroups.
- -Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged, students with

5. Early Admission Program (EAP) pass rate, reported in all Grade 11 student CAASPP results, will increase by 3% over spring 2015 baseline.

- **6.** Maintain 75% proficient or advanced on CST science for students in grades 5, 8, and 10 during transition to NGSS.
- 7. All students will receive both integrated and discreet arts instruction based on adopted VAPA standards (baseline data to be collected in 2016-17/Metrics TBD).

- disabilities, and students who have Two or More Races are either not performing at mastery level in English language arts or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
- -In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Disabilities was 27.9 points below level 3, and they also declined 13.7 points over spring 2015.
- -In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students declined 5.8 points over spring 2015.
- -In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Two or More Races declined 1.6 points over spring 2015.
- In spring 2016, the achievement of military-connected students in ELA was 75% meeting or exceeding standards, 1% higher than non-military students.
- -Students with Disabilities and students who are Asian are either not performing at mastery level in mathematics or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
- -In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Students with Disabilities was 48.1 points below level 3, and they also declined 9.2 points over spring 2015.
- -In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Asian students declined significantly by 11.9 points over spring 2015.
- The achievement of military-connected students in mathematics was 68% meeting or exceeding standards, 5% higher than non-military students.
- **5.** This outcome for EAP was NOT MET in ELA and MET in math. -Gr 11 Students who are considered *Ready* in ELA (Standard Exceeded): 35% (-8% over 2015) *and* Gr 11 Students who are considered *Conditionally Ready* in ELA (Standard Met): 36% (-2% over 2015).
- -Gr 11 Students who are considered *Ready* in Math (Standard Exceeded): 27% (+7 over 2015) *and* Gr 11 Students who are considered *Conditionally Ready* in ELA (Standard Met): 28% (-2% over 2015).
 - **6.** This outcome was for science was MET overall (+5 overall growth) except for socioeconomically disadvantaged students; 62% of those students met or exceeded standards. For additional information, go to www.coronadousd.net/learning-instruction/assessment.
 - Secondary ONLY: Per new CDE/Create CA Database, (2014-15 data per CALPADS; at present, there is no available data for elementary grades.)
- -Total Secondary CUSD Discrete Arts Enrollment: 43% of students are enrolled in the arts (baseline 866/school enrollment 1,992)
- -CUSD Secondary Participation Rates:
- -Art 19%
- -Music 8%
- -Theater 7%

- **8.** 100% of English Learners will make annual progress towards becoming English proficient as measured by annual CELDT scores and AMAO reports.
- **9.** EL Reclassification rate will increase by 2% over 2015 baseline.
- **10.** Long-term English learner rate will be 0 students will be maintained (baseline).
- **11.** The District UC/CSU (A-G) completion rate of 73.2% in 2014-15 (CHS 76%; Palm Academy 0%) will increase by 2% in 2015-16.
- **12.** AP course participation rate of 38% in 2014-15 rate will be maintained in 2015-16.
- **13.** The AP achievement rates of 72% of students scoring a 3, 4, or 5 and 13% of students scoring a 5 in 2014-15 will increase by 1% in 2015-16.
- **14.** The percent of students (duplicated) participated in CTE courses will increase by 2% over the 2014-15 rate.
- **15.** The baseline of 100% of students who receive a "C" or better in capstone CTE courses will be maintained.
- **16.** 100% of students and teachers have access to multimedia computers and digital content connected to the network in all classrooms, school computer labs, and the library during the school day (maintain baseline).
- **17.** Students and teachers will be digitally literate (K-5 students will continue instruction based on CUSD K-5 Technology Scope and

- -Dance 1%
- -Arts Media Entertainment (AME) 43%

Participation in the above areas are ABOVE the state average except in music and dance. Number of Arts Educators/Ratio of Arts Educators to Students: 22/94.86, ABOVE the state average. For additional information, go to http://www.createca.dreamhosters.com/interactive-dashboard/

- **8.** In 2016-17, 80% of English Learners made annual progress of at least one level on CELDT level towards becoming English proficient. This outcome was NOT MET.
- **9.** EL Reclassification rate for 2016-17 is 40%, a 20% increase as compared to the 2015-16 reclassification rate of 19%. This outcome was MET.
- **10.** In 2016-17, CUSD increased the number of long-term English learners from 0 to 2 (new enrollees since last year).
- **11.** The District UC/CSU (A-G) completion rate was 78.5% in 2015-16 (CHS 81.3% (Palm Academy 0%; +5.3 growth overall.) This outcome was MET.
- **12.** AP course participation rate of in 2015-16 rate was 33.3% (participants/CBEDS enrollment at CHS; -5% over previous year). This outcome was NOT MET.
- 13. In 2015-16, the AP achievement rates for students scoring a 3, 4, or 5 increased to 73% (+1% higher than expected). This outcome was MET. The percent of students scoring a 5 increased by 2% (1% higher than expected). This outcome was MET.
- **14.** The percent of students (duplicated; 1016/1238) who participated in CTE courses in 2015-16 was 82%, an increase of y 30% over the 2014-15 rate of 52% (631/1211). This increase was due to new CTE courses added due to CTEIG (CTE Incentive Grant). This outcome was MET.
- **15.** The percent of students who received a "C" or better in capstone CTE courses was 99% (2/210). This outcome was NEARLY MET.
- **16.** 100% of students and teachers have access to multimedia computers and digital content connected to the network in all classrooms, school computer labs, and the library during the school day (baseline maintained).
- **17.** Metrics have not yet been developed for this outcome.

- Sequence/Metrics TBD; gr 6-12 version is planned to be developed in 2016-17)
- **18.** 100% of all teachers will have access to and receive training on academic data systems in order to use data to make instructional decisions.
- 19. The 4-year cohort graduation rate of 98.0% in 2014-15 and the CHS graduation rate of 98.6% in 2014-15 will be maintained for 2015-16 (data reported a year in arears).
- 20. District and site API scores will be maintained as measured by CDE criteria (pending new accountability guidelines due in fall 2016 by the CDE).

- 18. Baseline data will be collected in 2017-18. This will include CA School Dashboard, CAASPP Results Portal, and Multiple Measures Assessment and Reporting System (MMARS).
- **19.** The 4-year cohort graduation rate for the class of 2015-16 was 97.6 (data reported a year in arears; -1% over previous year). This outcome was NOT MET.
- 20. API is no longer CA accountability system; data in the CA School Dashboard has replaced it.

ACTIONS / SERVICES

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action

PLANNED

(Labeled as 1a on 16-17 LCAP) All schools will provide standards-aligned core curriculum, assessment, and high quality instruction to prepare all students to graduate college and career ready.

- Alignment/Teacher Capacity: Students will receive instruction that is standards-based and Science Standards (NGSS). CUSD will build a professional development plan for 2016-17 with certificated (via CUSD PD Committee) and classified staff input (via CSEA Leadership Team). CUSD will develop a TK-12 transition plan to implement NGSS in all grades.
- Staffing: 100% of CUSD teachers will be appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers as evidenced by credential audit.
- Achievement:
- The achievement of students in grades 3-8 and 11 in

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 1a on 16-17 LCAP) All schools provided standardsaligned core curriculum, assessment, and high quality instruction to prepare all students to graduate college and career ready.

Alignment/Teacher Capacity: Students received instruction that is standards-based and aligned to the Common Core State Standards in aligned to the Common Core State Standards ELA and math. Science instruction was fully aligned to NGSS in grades 6-(CCSS and increasingly aligned Next Generation 8, with transitions in grades K-5 and 9-12. CUSD built a professional development plan for 2016-17 with certificated (via CUSD PD Committee) and some classified staff input, especially for classified employees assigned to special education). CUSD developed a TK-12 transition plan to implement NGSS in all grades.

- **Staffing:** 100% of CUSD teachers were appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers as evidenced by internal credential audit.
- Achievement:

-See #4 above in section called Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes.

Actions/Services

English Language Arts and mathematics will improve 3% over spring 2015 baseline as measured by Smarter Balanced Assessments.

- The achievement of English learners and reclassified fluent English proficient students in grades 3-8 and 11 in English Language Arts and mathematics will improve 3% over spring 2015 baseline as measured by Smarter Balanced Assessments.
- -The achievement of students with disabilities in grades 3-8 and 11 in English Language Arts and mathematics will improve 3% over spring 2015 baseline as measured by Smarter Balanced Assessments; The achievement of students with disabilities in grades 3-8 and 11 in English Language Arts and mathematics will be baseline as measured by California Alternate Assessment (spring 2016).
- -The achievement of low income, homeless, and foster youth in grades 3-8 and 11 in English Language Arts and mathematics will improve 3% over spring 2015 baseline as measured by Smarter Balanced Assessments.
- -The achievement of military dependent students in English Language Arts and mathematics will be equal to that of non-military dependent students as measured by Smarter Balanced Assessments.
- Analyze Student Data to Improve Student
 Learning: Training for all teachers on the data
 portal Multiple Measures Assessment Reporting
 System (MMARS) will occur in 2016-17, which will
 include SBAC and MAP data.
- Graduation Rates: Graduation rates will be maintained.
- Course Options: CUSD will strive to maintain current number of electives, which exceeds the state average, Career Technical Education courses, and intervention sections and supports using all available resources to ensure that students' individual needs are MET including but not limited to DoDEA Project M3, federal Title I and Title III, Targeted Instruction and Improvement Grant (TIIG) funds, and LCFF Base and Supplemental funds. Coronado High School's Palm Academy program will be available for identified students into CHS, as
- Analyze Student Data to Improve Student Learning: Training for all teachers on the data portal Multiple Measures Assessment Reporting System (MMARS) occurred for TOSAs and administrators. There were various trainings on MAP and CAASPP data portals.
- Graduation Rates: See #19 above in section called Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes.
- Course Options: CMS maintained its current course options in 2016-17. CHS added to its current number of electives, which exceeds the state average, Career Technical Education courses, and intervention sections and supports using all available resources to ensure that students' individual needs are MET including but not limited to DoDEA Project M3, federal Title I and Title III, Targeted Instruction and Improvement Grant (TIIG) funds, and LCFF Base and Supplemental funds. CHS courses added included English Language Development for CHS; Home Economics (2 sections; a new CTE course); and Basic Life Support (1 new section, a new course offering in existing Patient Care Pathway). CHS did not offer the Big History course in 2016-17. Palm Academy (CHS alternative high school program)

well as independent study (SOLO program) and blended model online course options for students.

- Visual and Performing Arts: CUSD will develop units of instruction integrating CA visual and performing arts standards with English language arts standards for all grades (PENDING: DoDEA 2106 Arts for Learning grant application). Also, expand video production learning to the elementary level.
- Instructional Materials: Purchases of instructional materials will follow guidelines established in 2015-16 school year based on Education Code, Williams Law, Board Policies and philosophies, CUSD instructional materials budgets, new adoption timelines for CCSS ELA/ELD and NGSS, quality of adoption materials, and ensuring that teachers' expertise and consultation is a significant part of the process. Instructional Materials include traditional print-based materials, manipulatives/equipment, and digital resources. Digital resources depend on a highly functioning, reliable network infrastructure and devices.

provided support for approximately 25 identified students (~2% of student population), as well as part-time and full-time independent study (SOLO program) for approximately 27 students (2% of student population). ~113 students (9% of student population) participated in credit recovery.

- Visual and Performing Arts: DoDEA 2106 Arts for Learning grant was awarded in fall 2016; a project director was hired, a leadership team formed, and planning for integrated arts instruction with ELA and/or history began to be implemented fall 2017-June 2021.
- Instructional Materials: Purchases of instructional materials followed Ed Code and District guidelines. Instructional Materials included traditional print-based materials, manipulatives/equipment, and digital resources. Major purchases were College Preparatory Mathematics (CPM) for grades 6-12 and Delta Education NGSS Foss Kits for grades K-5.

BUDGETED

Purchase of standards-aligned curriculum:

General Fund set aside: \$364,560 balance total funds for all textbooks and adoptions; this funding is not replaced each year and must last for the foreseeable future. This fund may also be used to support digital textbook initiatives.

Lottery Funds (restricted and unrestricted): \$225,161 For consumables and licenses

Fund 40: \$300,000 in one time funds to support integrated mathematics in grades 6-12. Funds will be used for instructional materials and professional development.

Course Options:

LCFF Base

 Various electives in foreign language, arts, and engineering, Big History, etc.

ACTUAL

Purchases of standards-aligned curriculum included the following in 2016-17:

LCFF Base:

- General Fund set aside: \$2,600 was spent in 2016-17. The balance is ~\$362,000
- Lottery Funds (restricted and unrestricted): \$464,000 was spent in 2016-17. The balance is \$110,000.
- Fund 40: \$195,000 was spent in 2016-17. The balance is \$105,000.

Course Options:

LCFF Base

• Various electives in foreign language, arts, and engineering, etc.

Expenditures

- CTE
- Advanced Placement
- Coronado School of the Arts
- NJROTC

Visual and Performing Arts:

PENDING: DoDEA 2106 Arts for Learning grant application of 1.25 million over 5 years; notification due end of July 2016

Professional Development:

LCFF Base

 \$127,000 for certificated and classified District PD Day (August 22, 2016)

Dept. of Defense Grant Project Mathematics, Mindset, and Mastery(M3)

 PEP/math related professional development and meetings \$9,600

Title IIA

 CCSS/NGSS trainings at SDCOE, conference attendance, leadership teams after hours PD, etc. \$53,000

Educator Effectiveness Funds

 For district and site use during 2015-18 for PD related to state standards and best practices \$245,511

Assessment:

Dept. of Defense Grant Project M3

- Measures of Academic Progress/MAP for Primary Grades (MAP for gr K-8 in ELA/math; HS algebra and geometry) \$27,400
- Multiple Measures Assessment Reporting System (MMARS) \$8,000

CUSD Assessment Budget:

- K-5 Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA)
 Online Management System and DRA K-5 paper assessments \$9,000
- Pupil Testing \$26,000

- CTE
- Advanced Placement
- Coronado School of the Arts
- NJROTC

Visual and Performing Arts:

LCFF base; CoSA foundation in-kind funding; DoDEA 2106 Arts for Learning grant (Yr. 1 planning year) \$40,000 was spent in 2016-17

Professional Development:

LCFF Base

- August 22, 2017 CUSD PD day for all staff was part of the school calendar/staff salaries. \$145,000 is the approximate cost of a full day of PD should the Governing Board desire to add an additional day to the calendar for this purpose.)
- Dept. of Defense Grant Project Mathematics, Mindset, and Mastery(M3) PEP/math related professional development and meetings \$9,600

Title IIA

 CCSS/NGSS trainings at SDCOE, conference attendance, leadership teams after hours PD, release days, district committee meetings, and related activities \$47,800

Educator Effectiveness Funds

For district and site use (for certificated, classified, and administrative PD) during 2015-18 for PD related to state standards and best practices \$87,500 total in 2016-17; balance is \$129,000 (expires June 30, 2018)

Assessment:

Dept. of Defense Grant Project M3

- Measures of Academic Progress/MAP for Primary Grades (MAP for gr K-8 in ELA/math) \$22,575.00
- Multiple Measures Assessment Reporting System (MMARS) \$10,800 CUSD Assessment Budget:
- K-5 Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA) Online Management System \$6,200
- Pupil Testing \$14,900

2

Actions/Services

Expenditures

PLANNED

(Labeled as 1b on 16-17 LCAP) All schools will ensure access to and proficiency of 21st century learning tools, resources, and skills for all staff and students. (Aligns with CUSD Technology Plan/Future Ready Schools Plan).

- Proficiency of Students/Technology and Information Literacy: CUSD K-5 Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence will continue to be used to ensure digital proficiency (Yr. 2). A Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence will be created for grades 6-12.
- Proficiency of Staff/Professional Development: All student groups will receive instruction from teachers with an increased capacity for 21st century best practices for instruction. A survey of teachers' needs in these areas is pending prior to the development of digital proficiency teacher guidelines.
- Effectiveness of digital learning resources will be evaluated.

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 1b on 16-17 LCAP) All schools provided access to and proficiency of 21st century learning tools, resources, and skills for all staff and students. (Aligns with CUSD Technology Plan/Future Ready Schools Plan).

- Proficiency of Students/Technology and Information Literacy: CUSD K-5 Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence was used to ensure digital proficiency (Yr. 2). A Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence for grades 6-12 has not yet been initiated.
- Proficiency of Staff/Professional Development: A survey of teachers' needs in these areas is pending prior to the development of digital proficiency teacher guidelines.
- Effectiveness of digital learning resources will be evaluated.

BUDGETED

Cost of network maintenance and upgrades:

Fund 40 \$326,453 (includes IT Budget backup power supply units, servers, wireless infrastructure partial replacement, Wireless Access Points and licenses, etc.)

Devices replacements (computer, projectors, etc.): Fund 40 \$524,300 (this includes DO <u>and</u> site funds for desktop and mobile devices, carts, projectors, docucams, printers, Apple Refresh, etc.).

Instructional Resources

Instructional Materials Gen Fund set aside (see Goal 1)

- Open Educational Resources/Digital Textbooks
 \$16,000 for annual revision of CUSD digital textbooks
- Digital Content Portal and contract for mandated district certificated librarian (TK-12) \$21,000
- Edutyping keyboarding curriculum (K-6) \$4400

Lottery Technology Fund Haiku LMS, Google, and web access \$17,000

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Cost of network maintenance and upgrades AND devices replacements (computer, projectors, etc.):

Fund 40 \$586,000

Instructional Resources

Instructional Materials Gen Fund set aside (also see Goal 1)

- Open Educational Resources/Digital Textbooks \$2,000 for annual revision of CUSD digital textbooks
- Digital Content Portal and contract for mandated district certificated librarian (TK-12) \$21,000
- Edutyping keyboarding curriculum (K-6) \$7,157

Lottery Technology Fund
Haiku/Powerschool LMS and web access \$31,500

Professional Development:

LCFF Base

 \$127,000 for certificated and classified District PD Day (August 22, 2016)

Title IIA

 CCSS/NGSS trainings at SDCOE, conference attendance, leadership teams after hours PD, etc. \$53,000

Google (in-kind donation)

 20+ free seats for CUSD staff for hosting Google Conference in October 2016 (overall registration dictates number of CUSD free seats)

Professional Development:

LCFF Base

- Same as Action 1 PD.
 Title IIA
- Same as Action 1 PD.

Google (in-kind donation)

 ~20+ free seats for CUSD staff for hosting Google Conference in October 2016; cost to CUSD for conference ~\$3,000

Action

3

PLANNED

(Labeled as 1c on 16-17 LCAP) All schools will provide academic learning supports including differentiated instruction for all students in order to decrease achievement gaps and engage students, with a focus on accelerating learning.

Multi-Tiered Support Services: All sites will provide Academic Support for identified students in English language arts and mathematics. Sites will use CUSD MTSS Framework to determine appropriate placement and services. Elementary Academic Support and Enrichment teachers and secondary intervention teachers will collaborate with general education colleagues to determine strategies to decrease learning gaps. Students in grades K-high school will be assessed using Measures of Academic Progress (CHS math only for Integrated I and II). CUSD will implement Year 1 of MAP for Primary Grades (MPG) in grades K-2 (only those gr 2 students who have been identified as below proficient readers will use MPG; all other gr 2 students will use MAP). All available data, including MAP, will be used to set goals via personalized education plans to engage students in their learning. Compass Learning personalized tutorials (linked to MAP data) will be available for all students in grades K-8; 1 hour/week

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 1c on 16-17 LCAP) All schools provided academic learning supports including differentiated instruction for all students to decrease achievement gaps and engage students, with a focus on accelerating learning.

- Multi-Tiered Support Services: All sites provided Academic Support for identified students in English language arts and mathematics. Sites began to use CUSD Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) Framework as its foundation. Elementary Academic Support and Enrichment (ASE) teachers and secondary intervention teachers collaborated with general education colleagues to determine strategies to decrease learning gaps in these two content areas. Students in grades K-8 were assessed using Measures of Academic Progress (MAP); it was Year 1 of MAP for Primary Grades (MPG) for grades K-1 and some in grade 2. All available data, including MAP, was used to set goals and for personalized education plans to engage students in their learning. Compass Learning personalized tutorials (linked to MAP data) were available for all students in grades K-8; 1 hour/week usage is highly encouraged. Achieve 3000 (pilot program) was used for literacy support in grades 6-12, including ELD courses.
- Low Income/Homeless/Foster Youth Interventions: All sites provided Academic Support for identified Low Income/Homeless/Foster Youth students in English language

Actions/Services

usage is highly encouraged. Achieve 3000 (pilot program) will be used for literacy support in grades 6-12, including ELD courses.

- Low Income/Homeless/Foster Youth Interventions:
 All sites will provide Academic Support for identified Low Income/Homeless/Foster Youth students in English language arts and mathematics. See above.
- Students with Disabilities: For Students with Disabilities, MAP (RIT), CAASPP, and CAA scores (baseline 2016) will provide information on present levels of performance to determine progress on IEP goals and gauge proficiency.
- Military Dependent Academic Needs: Identified military dependent students who are below proficient in mathematics will participate in Academic Support and Enrichment services (elementary) and math support sections (secondary) in order to improve proficiency in math due to frequent relocations resulting in gaps in their learning per DoDEA Grant Project Math, Mindset, and Mastery (Year 2). Military-dependent achievement data will be disaggregated from non-military dependent students in order to ensure military-students' academic needs are being MET.

arts and mathematics. See above.

- Students with Disabilities: For Students with Disabilities, MAP (RIT), CAASPP, and CAA scores (baseline 2016) provided information on present levels of performance to determine progress on IEP goals and gauge proficiency.
- Military Dependent Academic Needs: Most Identified military dependent students who were not meeting standards in mathematics participated in Academic Support and Enrichment services (elementary) and math support sections (secondary) to improve proficiency in math due to frequent relocations resulting in gaps in their learning per DoDEA Grant Project Math, Mindset, and Mastery (Year 2). Military-dependent achievement data was disaggregated from non-military dependent students to ensure military-students' academic needs are being met. Oversight of math progress was led by DoDEA Project Director, external evaluator, Director of Learning, and site administrators.

BUDGETED

District-wide Intervention:

Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant (TIIG) to support below proficient students, including support for low income/homeless/foster youth This funding must be used to support students who are below proficient in core content areas. Use for CUSD MTSS Guidelines for support: \$161,259 for District-wide intervention (apportioned to sites based on % of total district enrollment).

-CHS: \$62,342 (39.9%) -CMS: \$37,412 (23.2%) -VES: \$43,862 (27.2%) -SSES: \$16,642 (9.7%)

LCFF Supplemental to support personalized learning for below proficient students, including support for low

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

District-wide Intervention:

TIIG

\$~157,000 was spent in 2016-17 for District-wide intervention (apportioned to sites based on % of total district enrollment).

-CHS: ~\$60,000 -CMS: ~37,000 -VES: ~44,000 -SSES: ~16,000

LCFF Supplemental to support personalized learning for below proficient students, including support for low income/homeless/foster youth, especially in core content areas. Reference CUSD MTSS Guidelines for support:

\$270,000 was spent in 2016-17 District-wide intervention (apportioned to sites based on % of total district enrollment):

Expenditures

income/homeless/foster youth, especially in core content areas. Use for <u>CUSD MTSS Guidelines</u> for support:

 \$379,832.00 for District-wide intervention (apportioned to sites based on % of total district enrollment):

CUSD: \$215,900 (57%; for ELD and other district-wide

supports for unduplicated students)

Balance for sites: \$163,932 -CHS: \$65,409 (39.9%) -CMS: \$38,032 (23.2%) -VES: \$44,590 (27.2%) -SSES: \$15,901 (9.7%)

Dept. of Defense Grant Project M3:

- \$70,592 to support 2.35 FTE for math intervention support: 1 section each math support for CMS/CHS (.40 FTE) and Academic Support and Enrichment Teachers at VES and SSES (4 @.485 FTE = 1.94 FTE)
- .5 FTE for CUSD Math TOSA/DoDEA Project Director \$39.600
- Measures of Academic Progress (MAP for gr 2-8 in ELA/math; CHS math) and MAP for Primary Grades (MPG gr K-2) \$27,400
- Compass Learning licenses (gr K-8) \$27,000

LCFF Base/SpED contribution:

- 33 sections Literacy, math, and study skills for CHS
- 28 sections Literacy, math, and study skills for CMS

Title I

Silver Strand Elementary reading specialist and some Academic Support and Enrichment Teachers \$172,000

Professional Development:

From DoDEA and Title IIA funds

Assessment:

Dept. of Defense Grant Project M3

- Measures of Academic Progress (MAP for gr 2-8 in ELA/math; CHS math) and MAP for Primary Grades (MPG gr K-2) \$27,400
- Multiple Measures Assessment Reporting System (MMARS) \$5,400

District-wide: \$240,000

-Sites: \$30,000

Dept. of Defense Grant Project M3:

- \$180,000 to support 2.35 FTE for math intervention support: 1 section each math support for CMS/CHS (.40 FTE) and Academic Support and Enrichment Teachers at VES and SSES (4 @.485 FTE = 1.94 FTE)
- .5 FTE for CUSD Math TOSA/DoDEA Project Director \$40,100
- Measures of Academic Progress (MAP for gr 2-8 in ELA/math;
 CHS math) and MAP for Primary Grades (MPG gr K-2) \$22,575
- Compass Learning licenses (gr K-8) \$28,000 (2 yrs.)

LCFF Base/SpED contribution/DoDEA:

- 39 sections math, success skills, and study skills for CHS
- 23 sections Literacy, math, and study skills for CMS

Title I

Silver Strand Elementary reading specialist and .6% FTE for an Academic Support and Enrichment Teacher \$167,277 (total award; estimated amount at left incorrect)

Professional Development:

From DoDEA and Title IIA funds

Assessment:

Dept. of Defense Grant Project M3

- Measures of Academic Progress (MAP for gr 2-8 in ELA/math;
 CHS math) and MAP for Primary Grades (MPG gr K-2) \$22,575
- Multiple Measures Assessment Reporting System (MMARS) \$10,800

Action

4

(Labeled as 1d on 16-17 LCAP) English Learners and Reclassified Fluent English Proficient Students will improve reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills in English in order to be college and career ready (Per Title III Improvement Plan):

- Following initial and annual fall CELDT assessment, students will receive designated ELD instruction based on California ELD standards no fewer than 150 minutes/week with a highly qualified certificated teacher.
- Each site will designate an EL Resource Teacher (EL RT) as a case carrier for EL/RFEP students at that site. EL Resource Teachers will work with administration, teachers, parents, and students to create a personalized learning plan for each EL/RFEP student. EL RTs will help to ensure appropriate ELD/SDAIE instruction for students and parent involvement, monitor data, and provide professional development support. EL RTs will assist in D/ELAC.
- CUSD EL Committee will revise reclassification criteria based on new SBAC baseline data in fall 2016 (pending CDE guidance).
- CUSD will hold reclassification celebrations for school site involving students and parents annually.
- Coronado High School/Palm Academy will issue the CA Seal of Biliteracy for graduating seniors who meet the criteria.
- All CUSD administrators and teachers will receive professional development training on CA ELD standards, ELA/ELD framework, and research-based best practices such as SDAIE or Project GLAD per federal Title III Improvement Plan.
- District and site administration will use multiple means of communication such as direct phone calls, email, district website blog posting, Twitter, Haiku LMS, and other means to increase D/ELAC parent and community participation.
- Site administration, registrars, and other site staff will be retrained annually on EL/RFEP procedures including

(Labeled as 1d on 16-17 LCAP) English Learners and Reclassified Fluent English Proficient Students will improve reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills in English in order to be college and career ready (Per Title III Improvement Plan):

- Following initial and annual fall CELDT assessment, EL students received designated English Language Development (ELD) instruction based on California ELD standards no fewer than 150 minutes/week with a highly qualified certificated teacher. CHS added one section of ELD to its master schedule.
- A .5 FTE EL Resource Teacher (EL RT) to support CUSD was hired in fall 2016. Each site also included an ELD teacher as a case carrier for EL/RFEP students. All EL Resource Teachers worked as a team with administration, teachers, parents, and students to create a personalized learning plan for each EL student. ELD instruction included SDAIE/GLAD strategies. VES maintained its English Learner Advisory Committee, and Silver Strand initiated one. A District ELAC was also re-initiated. CUSD EL Team will revise reclassification criteria based on new CDE guidance when available (pending legislation).
- VES and SSES held reclassification celebrations involving students and parents.
- Coronado High School issued the CA Seal of Biliteracy for graduating seniors who met the criteria (Year 2).
- All CUSD administrators and teachers received some training on CUSD EL/RFEP needs, CA ELD standards, ELA/ELD framework, and research-based best practices such as SDAIE or Project GLAD per federal Title III Improvement Plan.
- The EL Team and site administration used multiple means of communication such as direct phone calls, email, district website blog posting, Twitter, Haiku/Powerschool LMS, and other means to increase D/ELAC parent and community participation.
- New guidance was created and revisions made to all EL/RFEP procedures including Home Language Survey/registrar, updates to local student information system, annual notification requirements, student permanent record documents, and CALPADS data requirements. Oversight of District data and systems was conducted by District Bilingual Director (Sr. Director of Learning).

Actions/Services

Home Language Survey/registrar, updates to local student information system, annual notification requirements, student permanent record documents, and CALPADS data requirements. CUSD will develop a new guidance document for this purpose. These staff members will work closely with site EL RTs to determine CELDT testing eligibility and appropriate placement for students needing these services. Oversight of District data and systems will be conducted by District Bilingual Director (Sr. Director of Learning).

BUDGETED

EL/RFEP:

LCFF Supplemental

EL Resource Teachers (1.2 FTE) \$176,300

Expenditures Title IIA

EL/RFEP-related Professional Development \$5,000

Title III (Immigrant)

For EL/RFEP related instructional materials \$9,386

ESTIMATED ACTUALS

EL/RFEP:

LCFF Supplemental

2.03%FTE for EL Resource Teachers \$156,100 for ELD

Title IIA

EL/RFEP-related Professional Development \$2,000

Title III (Immigrant)

For EL/RFEP related instructional materials \$1,000

Action

5

PLANNED

1e) All students will be engaged learners and take responsibility for their learning.

- 86% of CUSD students will have some kind of personalized education plans, including 100% of EL/RFEP students, below proficient or credit deficient military-connected students, students with disabilities (IEP), and other identified below proficient students (aligns with DoDEA grant and Title III Improvement Plan). This is the same percent as reported in 2015-16.
- CUSD will initiate a PEP study committee to conduct a comprehensive review of CUSD's personalized learning past efforts and determine future steps. The committee will include teachers and administrators from all levels/schools and parent representation. Recommendations from the committee will be considered to increase students' ability to be engaged their learning.

ACTUAL

1e) All students will be engaged learners and take responsibility for their learning.

- This outcome was MAINTAINED.
- A Personalized Learning study committee conducted a comprehensive review of CUSD's personalized learning past efforts and determine future steps. The committee included teachers and administrators from all levels/schools. Recommendations from the committee included: a new definition of personalized learning (pending) and its essential components; future work on revised personalized education plan (PEP) formats to address different levels; and use of Haiku/Powerschool ePortfolio to share PEPs with parents, teachers, and students and from grade to grade; and best practices for PEP conferences.

Actions/Services

Expenditures

BUDGETED

Assessment and Instructional Materials:

DoDEA Project M3

- Measures of Academic Progress/MAP for Primary Grades (gr K-8 in ELA/math; CHS Integrated Math I and II) \$27,400
- Compass Learning licenses (gr 2-8) \$27,000

Professional Development for PEP Study Committee: *Title IIA*

• PEP study committee \$3,000

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Assessment and Instructional Materials:

DoDEA Project M3

- Measures of Academic Progress/MAP for Primary Grades (gr K-8 in ELA/math; CHS Integrated Math I and II) \$22,575
- Compass Learning licenses (gr 2-8) \$28,000 for 2 years

Professional Development for PEP Study Committee: *Title IIA*

• PEP study committee \$1,500

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

CUSD provided ongoing professional development on CCSS, NGSS, and other adopted CA standards, and purchased *College Preparatory Mathematics* (CPM) for grades 6-12 and *Delta Education NGSS Foss Kits* for grades K-5.

A broad course of study via core and elective course options in STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics), foreign language, and CTE was maintained.

Robust 21st century resources were maintained.

Intervention services via elementary Academic Support and Enrichment (ASE) opportunities and secondary intervention courses, with accompanying data systems, were provided for most identified students.

Most students completed some type of Personalized Learning Plan.

The percent of FTE dedicated to supporting English Learners increased.

CUSD students received instruction better aligned to the adopted CA content area Standards and Frameworks than in previous years in core areas.

The broad course of study with 21st century resources and instruction that CUSD offered contributed significantly to student readiness for college and career.

Use of data analysis and placement into Intervention courses and services need to strengthen district-wide. Personalized learning efforts and mindsets were maintained.

Monitoring of English Learners and Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students improved significantly.

None

CUSD will continue to provide ongoing professional development on CCSS, NGSS, and other adopted CA standards, and investigate standards-aligned instructional materials pending approval by the State Board of Education. See Goal 1: Learning.

Additional courses will be added based on student interest and STEAM/CTE pathways as budgets allow. See Goal 1: Learning

Robust 21st century resources will be maintained and upgraded as needed to support the CUSD learning management system, Haiku, and a variety of digital instructional materials used at all levels. See Goal 1: Learning.

Intervention services via elementary Academic Support and Enrichment (ASE) opportunities and secondary intervention courses, with accompanying data systems, will increase the goal of growth for 100% of identified students. See Goal 3: Support

Personalized Learning and PEPs will improve based on recommendations of the CUSD Personalized Learning Committee. See Goal 1: Learning

The percent of FTE dedicated to supporting English Learners will reflect the enrollment. See Goal 3: Support.

Goal 2:

COMMUNICATION: Communicate openly, freely, and accurately to engage and involve all shareholders.

,	STATE ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☒ 4 ☒ 5 ☒ 6 ☒ 7 ☒ 8 COE ☐ 9 ☐ 10
	LOCAL Governing Board Goal 2

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

1. Usage data from various communication methods will be reported annually, including Haiku Learning Management System and Synergy ParentVue.

2. Annual surveys will demonstrate an increase in self-reported positive school interactions. Survey procedures are pending Governing Board direction.

- 1. 2016-17 Usage data from Haiku/Powerschool Learning Management System (as of April 26, 2017):
- -There were ~874,000 visits by students totaling ~83,000 hours. The top student uses include inbox, calendar, Dropbox, Assessments, first pages, announcements, and discussions.
 -There were ~38,000 visits by teachers totaling ~7,800 hours.
 -There were ~60,000 visits by parents totaling ~3,000 hours.
 -2016-17 Usage data from Synergy ParentVue (as of May 30, 2017): Approximately 18% of CUSD parents have not accessed this resource. CUSD Tech Dept. staff continues to contact parents to reduce this number.
- This outcome was MET. Three surveys were issued in 2016-17 to parents on Learning, Communication, and Support (pending). Results from Communication Survey included:

-Identified areas of strength:

- 90% of our parents shared (strongly agree / agree) that they understand our district attendance policy.
- 84% of our parents believe (strongly agree / agree) that school communication with families occurs in an open and respectful manner.
- 80% of our parents shared (strongly agree / agree) that they are comfortable talking with their son/daughter's teacher(s).

-Identified areas of growth:

 Approximately 40% do not feel (neither strongly agree / nor agree) that our district provides information on how

- **3.** Required Parent Participation: School and District administrators will monitor SSC, D/ELAC, to ensure 100% compliance with state and federal laws and local needs.
- 4. District and site strategic planning committees will include parents who represent CUSD student demographics, including military parents, parents of students with disabilities, and parents of English Learner/Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students.
- 5. 100% of elementary parents will participate in annual parent-teacher conferencing (face-to-face or digital methods); baseline data for elementary and secondary parent conferencing will be collected in 2016-17.
- **6.** The number of parent training opportunities, both virtual and face to face, will increase over 2015-16; parent participation rates will also increase.

- parents can help or support student learning at home.
- Approximately 30% of our parents do not believe (neither strongly agree / nor agree) that our district maintains open lines of communication for members of our community to ask questions and/or express concerns.
- Approximately 30% of our parents do not feel (neither strongly agree / nor agree) connected to and informed about their child's experience in CUSD.
- -Themes gleaned from parent comments, questions, and concerns:
 - Too many tools / resources (Haiku, Naviance, Synergy, Websites, etc.); need to consolidate
 - Inconsistent use of communication tools (Haiku, email, newsletters)
 - Responsiveness of staff to initiate dialogue when students need intervention and/or may benefit from enrichment/acceleration
 - More support for new families
 - Informing parents in a timely fashion of significant shifts to schedule, staffing, programs, etc.
- 3. This outcome was MET.
- 4. This outcome was MET.
- In fall 2016, 98% of Village Elementary parents participated in annual parent-teacher conferencing and 96% at Silver Strand Elementary (baseline). This outcome was NEARLY MET.
- 6. Approximately 25 trainings for parents were held in 2016-17 for preschool through grades 12 parents hosted by CUSD schools. Topics offered were related to coming back to school/new CUSD parent opportunities; reading, mathematics, and academic interventions; English learners; social emotional support, social media, bullying, positive discipline, suicide awareness; college and career, and parenting. This outcome is BASELINE.

ACTIONS / SERVICES

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action

1

Actions/Services

Expenditures

Action

2

Actions/Services

PLANNED

(Labeled as 2a on 16-17 LCAP) Various Methods of communication will be used in order to engage and support shareholders.

- Implement communication Methods such as websites, email blasts (Constant Contact), mass communication via text/emails (InTouch/Edulink), Haiku, Google, the weekly CUSD Communique', Twitter, Facebook, eCoronado, Coronado Eagle Journal, etc.
- Inform shareholders of communication Methods and how to view or create these Methods via training
- Continue to expand and evaluate the use of Haiku Learning Management System (LMS) and Google Apps for Education (GAFE) by parents and teachers; increase use of Haiku by teachers and parents as determined by 2015-16 baseline data. Investigate use of Haiku ePortfolio as a vehicle for personalized education plans.

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 2a on 16-17 LCAP) Various Methods of communication will be used in order to engage and support shareholders.

- Various methods of communication were used for different purposed. See survey feedback in Actual Outcomes in this section.
- Some trainings were held at the beginning of the school year.
- This key action will be continued into 2017-18.

BUDGETED

Lottery Technology Fund Haiku LMS, Google, and web access \$32,000

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Lottery Technology Fund Haiku/Powerschool LMS and we

Haiku/Powerschool LMS and web access \$32,000; there is no separate cost for Google as the service is embedded in the cost of Chrome devices.

PLANNED

(Labeled as 2b on 16-17) LCAP Shareholder participation, communication, and engagement will continue to be a priority in order to support all students.

 Ensure that District and site strategic planning committees will include parents who represent

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 2b on 16-17) LCAP Shareholder participation, communication, and engagement will continue to be a priority in order to support all students.

 These activities did occur, including re-initiated DELAC.

Expenditures

Action

Actions/Services

Expenditures

varied student needs, including military parents, parents of students with disabilities, and parents of English Learner/Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students, etc.

- Annual surveys will demonstrate an increase in self-reported positive school interactions (see outcomes above; survey procedures are pending Governing Board direction).
- Ensure 100% of elementary parent participation in fall conferences and promote secondary parent conferencing/communication.
- Provide a series of face- to-face and virtual trainings for parents on CCSS, NGSS, Haiku, and Synergy ParentVUE, including improvements to resources for parents via district websites.
- Build a process for providing information to families in a streamlined manner.

Results from Fall 2016 Parent Survey (373 participants) regarding communication are above in Actual Outcomes section.

- In fall 2016, 98% of Village Elementary parents participated in annual parent-teacher conferencing and 96% at Silver Strand Elementary.
- Approximately 25 trainings or parents were held in 2016-17 for preschool through grades 12 parents hosted by CUSD schools. Topics offered were related to coming back to school/new CUSD parent opportunities; reading, mathematics, and academic interventions; English learners; social emotional support, social media, bullying, positive discipline, suicide awareness; college and career, and parenting.
- PlayPosit was purchased and training for two TOSA occurred to begin pilot.
- Investigation for a single sign-on began.

BUDGETED

Title IIA and DoDEA Project M3 Grant
After school staff pay for parent trainings \$6,000

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Title IIA and DoDEA Project M3 Grant
After school staff pay for parent trainings \$1,000

PLANNED (Labeled as 2c on 16-17) Required Parent Participation: School Compliance Officers will monitor SSC, ELAC, and D/ELAC to ensure 100% compliance with state and federal laws and local committees.	(Labeled as 2c on 16-17) Required Parent Participation: CUSD was 100% compliance with state and federal laws and local committees.
BUDGETED None	None

Action		

Actions/Services	PLANNED 2d) Assess the communication Methods using multiple measures	ACTUAL 2d) Assess the communication Methods using multiple measures
	Use annual strategic planning sessions, surveys, and forums to assess communication effectiveness.	Feedback on communication methods was gathered at annual strategic planning sessions, surveys, and parent meetings.
Expenditures	BUDGETED LCFF General Fund Survey Monkey account: \$300.00 Google Apps for Education	ESTIMATED ACTUAL LCFF General Fund Survey Monkey account: was not renewed. Google Apps for Education – no cost for Google; embedded into Chromebook cost.

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

CUSD continued to provide a variety of ways for parents and the community to access information about our schools and programs, including face to face and virtual methods. CUSD issued two parent surveys on Governing Board Goals (to date, a third parent survey on Support is pending) with improved parent response over previous years' efforts.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Strong parent feedback was received that CUSD provides too many methods of communication and that they wish for a more streamlined approach to virtual communication and access/portals. Over 650 survey responses were received per the fall and winter parent surveys.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

The actual costs for these key actions was \$5,300 less than expected.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

CUSD will seek to streamline communication by researching a single sign-on system for parents and/or students.

CUSD will evaluate, monitor, and train teachers and parents on Haiku/Powerschool learning management system usage and offer more trainings for parents, both face to face and virtually.

Goal 3:

SUPPORT: Maintain safe and supportive schools where students and staff thrive.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE \boxtimes 1 \boxtimes 2 \square 3 \boxtimes 4 \boxtimes 5 \square 6 \boxtimes 7 \boxtimes 8

COE 9 10

LOCAL Governing Board Goal 3

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED

- 1. 100% of CUSD schools will receive an overall "fair" rating on Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT).
- 2. 100% of CUSD schools will update their safety plans annually, and ensure that all staff is appropriately trained.
- 3. 100% of CUSD elementary schools will participate in monthly safety drills; 100% of middle schools will participate in safety drills four times every school year, 100% of high schools will participated in safety drills at least twice every school year.
- **4.** The 2016-17 P2 attendance rate will increase by 2% over 2015-16 of 93.74%.
- **5.** The 2016-17 chronic absenteeism rate will decrease by 1% over 2015-16 rate of 13%.
- **6.** The total number of suspensions will decrease by 2% over 2014-15 rate of 4% (83/2009). Elementary schools will report their suspensions (baseline).
- **7.** The baseline expulsion rate of 0% will be maintained.
- **8.** The 2015-16 dropout count for Coronado High School will be reduced by 25% over 2014-15 count of 4 (data reported by CDE a year in arears).

ACTUAL

- 1. 100% of CUSD schools received an overall "good" rating on Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT). This outcome was MET.
- **2.** This outcome was MET.
- This outcome was MET.
- **4.** The 2016-17 P2 attendance rate is 95.51%. This outcome was NEARLY MET.
- 5. The overall CUSD spring P2 chronic absenteeism rate is 16%, an increase of 3% over spring 2016. Decreases occurred at Silver Strand Elementary School and Coronado Middle School; increases occurred at Village Elementary and Coronado High School. This outcome was NOT MET.
- 6 The District suspension rate for 2015-16 was 2.5%. This outcome was NEARLY MET.
- **7.** The District expulsion rate for 2015-16 was 0%. This outcome was MET.
- **8.** The 2015-16 dropout count for Coronado High School was 5 (4 students were short credits; 1 unknown). This outcome was NOT MET.

- **9.** The dropout rate for Coronado Middle School will be maintained at 0 (14-15 data).
- **10.** Teachers and classified employees will report positive feedback to CUSD regarding professional development and training.
- **11**. The percent of CUSD students with Personalized Education Plans (PEPs) in 2016-17 will be maintained at 86%.

- **9.** The 2015-16 dropout count for Coronado Middle School was 4 (no known whereabouts).
- **10**. A variety of both positive and constructive feedback about professional development occurred at District Strategic Planning, the CUSD PD Committee, and at Late Start Thursdays. This information was used to develop PD for 2017-18 (see new Goal 1, Key Action 6).
- **11.** This outcome was maintained, with some increases to PEPs for English Learners.

ACTIONS / SERVICES

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action

1

PLANNED

(Labeled as 3a on 16-17) Facilities:

CUSD will continue to maintain safe and clean school facilities. Due to constrained finances, the District has chosen a strategy of "planned degradation" for our school facilities. All facilities will continue to be safe and clean. However, some maintenance will be deferred until necessary in order to stretch our available funds as far as possible. A result of this will be "good" ratings on the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) dropping to "fair" in many cases.

There are no additional significant projects planned.

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 3a on 16-17) Facilities:

CUSD continued to maintain safe and clean school facilities. Due to constrained finances, the District has chosen a strategy of "planned degradation" for our school facilities. All facilities will continue to be safe and clean, however, some maintenance will be deferred until necessary in order to stretch our available funds as far as possible. A result of this will be "good" ratings on the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) dropping to "fair" in many cases.

The Committee to Assess Student Learning Environments (CASLE) was formed to evaluate temperature and ventilation conditions in classrooms and make recommendations on next steps. Short and long-term recommendations can be viewed in a report to the Governing Board May 18, 2017 at www.coronadousd.net/agendapublic

BUDGETED

Fund 40

Approximately \$450,000 for various facilities projects and upkeep.

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Fund 40

Approximately \$500,000 for various facilities projects and upkeep.

Actions/Services

Expenditures

Actions/Services

Expenditures

Action

PLANNED (Labeled as 3b on 16-17)

Social emotional support for students will be provided in a variety of ways in a continuum of services ranging from guidance counseling to clinical counseling. Clinical Counselors and Educational Related Mental Health (ERMHS) Counselors will be providing classroom/staff presentations, individual counseling, walk-in counseling, risk management services, parent consultation, and group counseling. They will be reviewing on-going data collection from these programs to provide formative analysis of student social emotional needs across the district. Due to

this analysis, data driven decisions will be made ensuring the appropriateness of program. At the conclusion of the 2015-16 school year, a summative analysis of this data will be conducted to determine effectiveness of the programs provide guidance for programs in 2016-17. In addition, these counselors will take the lead in the collaboration with district academic counselors, CUSD School Liaison Officer for Navy Region Southwest, CUSD Military Life Consultants (MFLCs), as well as CUSD School Resource Officer from the Coronado Police Department in addressing the social emotional needs of our students. Counselors will continue to collaborate with Coronado SAFE (School and Family Enrichment).

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 3b on 16-17) Social emotional support for students were provided in a variety of ways in a continuum of services ranging from guidance counseling to clinical counseling. Clinical Counselors and Educational Related Mental Health (ERMHS) Counselors provided classroom/staff presentations, individual counseling, walk-in counseling, risk management services, parent consultation, and group counseling. They reviewed on-going data collection from these programs to provide formative analysis of student social emotional needs across the district. Due to this analysis, data driven decisions were made ensuring the appropriateness of program. In addition, these counselors took the lead in the collaboration with district academic counselors, CUSD School Liaison Officer for Navy Region Southwest, CUSD Military Life Consultants (MFLCs), as well as CUSD School Resource Officer from the Coronado Police Department in addressing the social emotional needs of our students. CUSD continued to collaborate with Coronado SAFE (School and Family Enrichment).

BUDGETED

LCFF Base

Guidance Counseling \$272,000 (3 secondary positions) City of Coronado Joint Powers Agreement Licensed Clinical Social Workers (Elementary 1.5 FTE, CMS 1.0 FTE, CHS 1.0 FTE) \$331,000 Dept. of Defense (total costs covered) Military Family Life Counselors (all sites)

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

LCFF Base

Guidance Counseling (3.8 FTE) \$470,000 Licensed Clinical Social Workers (Elementary 1.5 FTE, CMS 1.0 FTE, CHS 1.0 FTE) \$340,000

Dept. of Defense (total costs were covered) Military Family Life Counselors (all sites)

Actions/Services

Expenditures

Action

Actions/Services

PLANNED

(Labeled as 3c on 16-17) Ethical Use/Provide Safe Internet:

CUSD students will participate in a digital citizenship course identified through SDCOE called Common Sense Education. K-5 students will receive instruction based on adopted K-5 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship Scope and Sequence. A gr 6-12 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship Scope and Sequence will be developed in 2016-17.

The Acceptable Use Policy will continue to be updated and re-signed by parents annually.

BUDGETED

Title IIA

PLANNED

\$3,000 for development of gr 6-12 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship Scope and Sequence project

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 3c on 16-17) Ethical Use/Provide Safe Internet:

K-5 students received instruction based on adopted K-5 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship Scope and Sequence. The gr 6-12 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship Scope and Sequence project was not initiated in 2016-17.

The Acceptable Use Policy was updated and re-signed by parents.

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Title IIA None.

(Labeled as 3d on 16-17) Professional Development/Training:

Provide professional development to support CA State Standards, new curriculum, intervention programs, and specific strategies for English Learners and all universal access differentiated instruction components, and other needed training as identified. CCSS/NGSS trainings will include paraprofessionals, when appropriate to content or service (see also Goal 1). CUSD will develop a professional development plan using available time and financial resources to support certificated and classified employees for 2016-17 with input from the CUSD Professional Development Committee (representative of all certificated staff) and classified department managers.

Available time for professional development will include:

- August 22, 2016 District-wide Welcome Back 2016-17 and Professional Development Day (certificated and classified)
- 3 "Late Start Thursdays" September 22, 2016; January 19, 2017; March 2, 2017; for certificated and classified).

ACTUAL

(Labeled as 3d on 16-17) Professional Development/Training:

Provide professional development to support CA State Standards, new curriculum, intervention programs, and specific strategies for English Learners and other needed training was held. Various trainings and conference opportunities did include paraprofessionals, especially for special education paraprofessionals. The Learning Department developed a PD Plan using available time and financial resources to support certificated and classified employees for 2016-17 with input from the CUSD Professional Development Committee (representative of all certificated staff) and classified department managers. Classified employees responded to a survey on professional development.

Available time for professional development will include:

- August 22, 2016 District-wide Welcome Back 2016-17 and Professional Development Day (certificated and classified)
- 3 "Late Start Thursdays" September 22, 2016; January 19, 2017; March 2, 2017; for certificated and classified).
- CUSD provided certificated staff a Wednesday Calendar to support various professional development, staff meetings, department and grade level PLCs, articulation/collaboration meetings and alignment needs on these minimum day affecting

- CUSD will continue to provide for certificated staff a Wednesday Calendar to support various professional development, staff meetings, department and grade level PLCs, articulation/collaboration meetings and alignment needs on these minimum day affecting all schools based on CUSD 2016-17 calendar.
- Some release days and paid professional development/meetings to support certificated standards-based instruction will be available through use of federal Title IIA funds.
- Educator Effectiveness Funds will be used to support transition to NGSS, continuing transition to CCSS, and other personalized professional learning needs in order to maintain a faculty of high quality teachers.

One-time Fund 40 dollars will be used to support transition to integrated mathematics in grades 6-12.

- all schools based on CUSD 2016-17 calendar.
- Some release days and paid professional development/meetings to support certificated standards-based instruction were available through use of Educator Effectiveness Funds and federal Title IIA funds.
- PD funds were used to support transition to NGSS, continuing transition to CCSS, and other personalized professional learning needs to maintain a faculty of high quality teachers.
- One-time Fund 40 dollars were used to support transition to integrated mathematics in grades 6-12.

BUDGETED

LCFF Base

 \$127,000 for District PD Day certificated and classified (August 22, 2016)

Title IIA

 Training, release days and paid after school professional development/meetings to support certificated standards-based instruction \$54,000

DoDEA Project M3

• \$9,600

Educator Effectiveness (total award listed; funding available is less any amount spent in 2015-16) Total: \$245, 511

- CHS: 54, 180
- CMS: 32,702
- VES: 44, 661
- SSES: 17, 299
- CUSD (for district-wide use): 96, 668

Fund 40

\$135,750 (for PD, training, and support in 2016-17 and 2017-18)

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

LCFF Base

 \$145,000 for District PD Day certificated and classified (August 22, 2016)

Title IIA

 Training, release days and paid after school professional development/meetings to support certificated standards-based instruction \$48,000

DoDEA Project M3

• \$7,000

Educator Effectiveness

- CHS: 13.600
- CMS: 19,700
- VES: 11,400
- SSES: 1,400
- CUSD (for district-wide use): 29,000
- Total Balance available for 17-18 \$~129,000 (expires June 2018)

Fund 40

\$3,507.00 (for PD, training, and support in 2016-17 and 2017-18)

Expenditures

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

CUSD schools were clean, safe, and in good repair. The CASLE committee was formed, took data, and recommends next steps to the district and Governing Board. Site safety plans underwent a major review and update. Attendance was researched and efforts taken at each school to improve it. Feedback on professional development increased. CUSD maintained strong social emotion supports for all students. 21st century learning continued, however a grade 6-12 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship project did not yet start.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

CUSD shareholders continue to work together to seek solutions to climate in classrooms especially on hot weather days. Administrators and related staff began a concerted effort to ensure attendance procedures are followed, parents are appropriately notified, and incentivize school attendance. Certificated and classified staff feedback on professional development activities increased. The approximate percent of students with Personalized Education Plans was maintained; a Personalized Learning Committee evaluated District efforts to date with recommendations pending. CUSD provided a wide variety of social emotional supports at all levels for CUSD students. Grade 6-12 need to develop a coordinated instructional plan for ensuring digital proficiency and citizenship. CUSD administration and teachers make the most of every available opportunity to collaborate and engage in professional learning.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

None

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

CASLE recommendations will impact future key actions for classroom climate. Attendance procedures, notifications, training, and incentives will continue to be a priority for all schools. Professional development will be more coordinated under the Learning Department with the planned addition of District TOSAs for various content areas and teacher leaders for each grade level and department. Social emotional support structures will continue. Grade 6-12 Digital Proficiency and Citizenship project will initiate. Professional development will continue to be a focus for all staff, especially as updates to CA adopted content standards and frameworks, instructional materials, assessments, etc. continue in the coming years.

Stakeholder Engagement

LCAP Year

INVOLVEMENT PROCESS FOR LCAP AND ANNNUAL UPDATE

How, when, and with whom did the LEA consult as part of the planning process for this LCAP/Annual Review and Analysis?

-CUSD shareholders include:

all students; all parents/guardians; all teachers (Association of Coronado Teachers) and classified staff (California School Employees Association); all site Parent Teacher Organizations, School Site Councils, Parent Leadership Council, D/ELAC, SEPAC (Special Education Parent Advisory Committee), and other parent/school committees; Coronado Schools Foundation, Coronado Arts Education Foundation, Coronado Sports Foundation, and Coronado SAFE (School and Family Enrichment); Military Local Planning Council, various military partnerships and support organizations, and military parent groups; City of Coronado, including Coronado Police and Fire Departments, Coronado Historical Museum, and Coronado Cultural Arts Commission; Community Services Organizations such as Rotary, Optimist, Lions, and Soroptimist Clubs.

-2017-18 Strategic LCAP Planning events and dates were held on (shareholder groups were represented at all district and site LCAP meetings):

District Strategic LCAP Annual Meeting February 1, 2017 Silver Strand Elementary Strategic LCAP Annual Meeting February 21, 2017 Village Elementary Strategic LCAP Annual Meeting February 22, 2017 Coronado Middle School Strategic LCAP Annual Meeting February 23, 2017 Coronado High School Strategic LCAP Annual Meeting February 24, 2017

-All CUSD schools held regular School Site Council meetings.

Each School Site Council was involved in the site LCAP process.

-Parent Surveys on LCAP/CUSD Board Goals:

2 parent surveys were issued to gather feedback on LCAP Goal 2 Communication (fall 2016) and Goal 1 Learning (winter 2017).

-Governing Board meetings and workshops related to the LCAP and budget were held on the following dates:

November 15, 2016 (LCAP Communication survey results); December 15, 2016 (LCAP); January 5, 2017 (LCAP); January 19, 2017 (Budget); February 16, 2017 (LCAP); March 2, 2017 (LCAP Learning survey results); April 7, 2017 (Budget); May 12, 2017 (LCAP); May 18, 2017 (LCAP)

-LCAP meetings with shareholder groups were held on the following dates:

Association of Coronado Teachers (ACT):

January 26, 2017 LCAP Template review with ACT president (jointly with CSEA)

May 2, 2017 LCAP Walk-Through with ACT Leadership (jointly with CSEA and CUSD Admin staff)

May 18, 2017 LCAP Consultation with ACT Leadership

California School Employees Association (CSEA):

January 26, 2017 LCAP Template review with CSEA leadership (jointly with ACT)

May 2, 2017 LCAP Walk-Through with CSEA Leadership (jointly with ACT and CUSD Admin staff)

May 17, 2017 LCAP Consultation with CSEA Leadership

Parent Leadership Committee:

April 28, 2017 LCAP review and via email

<u>District and Village Elementary English Learner Advisory Committees:</u>

May 15, 2017 and via email

CUSD Strategic LCAP Planning Committee:

May 5, 2017 via email

Coronado Schools Foundation:

April 13, 2017 LCAP overview

-General Community Feedback:

The LCAP draft #1 was posted on the CUSD website with an opportunity for the community to provide feedback.

No responses were received. Draft #2 was posted on May 31, 2017.

IMPACT ON LCAP AND ANNNUAL UPDATE

How did these consultations impact the LCAP for the upcoming year?

-2017-18 District Strategic LCAP Annual Meeting:

Shareholder attendees, which included secondary students, teachers, classified employees, district office staff, and representatives of most shareholder groups, provided feedback on 6 topics relating to the LCAP including: personalized learning, communication, multi-tiered systems of support (MTSS), academic achievement, district office support, and professional development. Feedback from each group was incorporated into key actions for each area of the CUSD LCAP/Board Goals for Learning, Communication, and Support. Feedback is incorporated into the "Identified Needs" section before each goal (see pages 34, 50, and 56).

-All CUSD schools:

Each school site's Single Plan for Student Achievement/Strategic Plan was formatted to align to the LCAP to ensure strong alignment between the district and all school sites creating a significantly improved understanding and use of the LCAP. Each school site held regular School Site Council meetings that included the site's LCAP, and had a site staff leadership team that was involved in their LCAP/strategic planning process. Input from site annual LCAP meetings, Site Council Meetings, and staff meetings was highly considered in creating each site's plan.

- -Parent Surveys on LCAP/CUSD Board Goals:
- 2 district-wide parent surveys were issued to gather feedback on LCAP Goal 2 Communication (fall 2016) and Goal 1 Learning (winter 2017). Results are incorporated into the "Identified Needs" section before each goal (see pages 34 and 50), which directly relate to both metrics and key actions in these areas.
- -Governing Board meetings and workshops related to the LCAP and budget were held on the following dates:

Notes were kept from each Board meeting and workshop to assist in forming key action and allocate related funds.

-LCAP meetings with shareholder groups were held on the following dates:

Association of Coronado Teachers (ACT):

At the LCAP consultation meeting, the ACT Leadership team provided a document containing questions related to the LCAP and suggestions for revisions to key actions and metrics. All questions were answered in writing, and revisions to the LCAP based on their feedback was provided. Areas revised based on their input include: various language clarifications, inclusion of the number of student in subgroups where improvement is needed, professional development, classroom environment, literacy instruction in electives and core classes, recognition of NGSS for Coronado Middle School, language regarding consistent LMS use, future surveys of staff and students as well as parents, social emotion supports for staff, and others.

California School Employees Association (CSEA):

At the LCAP consultation meeting, the CSEA Leadership team provided feedback on key actions and metrics. All questions were answered, and revisions to the LCAP included the following: inclusion of the number of students in a subgroup where improvement is needed, inclusion of paraprofessionals in addition to teacher in all professional development references related to instruction, development of a PD plan for all departments of classified employees and use of Educator Effectiveness Funds, inclusion of classified staff on technology-related trainings, and others.

Parent Leadership Committee:

LCAP draft feedback from this committee aligned with feedback provided in parent surveys for Learning and Communication. In particular, parents requested a simplified communication system (single sign-on), and simplified registration processes. See pages 34 and 50.

District and Village Elementary English Learner Advisory Committees:

May 15, 2017 and via email. Parents read through the LCAP goals related to English Learners, and made no recommendations for changes and expressed satisfaction with the LCAP key actions. Parents in attendance committed to assisting CUSD with marketing the value of the D/ELAC to all parents of English Learners to have better participation in the future.

CUSD Strategic LCAP Planning Committee:

May 5, 2017 LCAP information sent via email. Parent responses made no recommendations for changes and expressed satisfaction with the LCAP key actions.

Coronado Schools Foundation:

April 13, 2017 LCAP overview was presented. No recommendations for changes to the LCAP were expressed.

-General Community Feedback:

The LCAP draft #1 was posted on the CUSD website with an opportunity for the community to provide feedback.

No responses were received. Draft #2 was posted on May 31, 2017.

Goals, Actions, & Services

Strategic Planning Details and Accountability

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

□ New	☐ Unchanged

Goal 1:

LEARNING: Integrate personalized learning with assessment methods that will prepare all students for academic and vocational success.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

 STATE □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8

 COE □ 9 □ 10

 LOCAL Governing Board Goal 1

Identified Need

- Students must take an active role in their education.
- (For more detailed information, reference Greatest Needs and Performance Gaps, pages 4-5) Recent data shows that approximately 27% of CUSD students district-wide are underperforming in English Language Arts and 35% in mathematics based on 2016 CAASPP and MAP results. Overall, Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students, and Students with Disabilities are not performing as high as White and Asian subgroups. Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged, students with disabilities, and students who have Two or More Races are either not performing at mastery level in English language arts or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
 - In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Disabilities was 27.9 points below level 3, and they also declined 13.7 points over spring 2015.
 - In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students declined 5.8 points over spring 2015.
 - In spring 2016, the ELA performance of Students with Two or More Races declined 1.6 points over spring 2015.
- Students with Disabilities and students who are Asian are either not performing at mastery level in mathematics or whose performance has declined, per CA School Dashboard.
 - In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Students with Disabilities was 48.1 points below level 3, and they also declined 9.2 points over spring 2015.
 - In spring 2016, the mathematics performance of Asian students declined significantly by 11.9 points over spring 2015.

- CUSD has determined the need for continued professional development for CUSD teachers to align instruction to adopted CA Standards and Frameworks.
- CUSD did not meet AMAO 3 (RFEP student achievement) in English Language Arts or Math in 2012 nor 2013. CUSD continues to be in Title III Program Improvement (due to transition to Federal Every Student Succeeds Act).
- Technology skills are vital for success in the global economy. Teachers, staff, and students must be skilled users of technology.
- 59.84% of CUSD elementary teachers report being unfamiliar with CA Visual and Performing Arts Standards (per fall 2015 survey).
- Teachers self-reported that they do not have a comfort level teaching neither discrete nor integrated arts (per fall 2015 survey).
- There continues to be approximately 40% of students who are military-connected enrolled throughout CUSD, which is the largest local subgroup. These students experience frequent transitions and parent deployments. Thus, students require significant academic, social, and emotional support.
- The winter 2017 parent survey (279 participants) on the topic of learning showed that:
 - 75% of parent responders believe (strongly agree/agree) that CUSD academic experiences challenge our learners to meet high expectations.
 - 72% of parent responders believe (strongly agree/agree) that CUSD educational programs are preparing our learners for success in the next grade level and/or college and career readiness.
 - 72% of parent responders believe (strongly agree/agree) that topics covered in our classrooms are relevant and connect content to the real world.
 - 26% of parent responders do not feel (strongly disagree/disagree) that homework is valueadded and supports learning.
 - 24% of parent responders do not feel (strongly disagree/disagree) that their child's individual learning style and academic needs are being MET.
 - 21% of parent responders do not feel (strongly disagree/disagree) that teachers provide helpful, timely feedback to learners about their work.
 - o Themes, concerns and questions from survey responses showed parents desire for:
 - additional opportunities desired for acceleration in elementary math
 - expansion of computer science / coding opportunities across CUSD
 - improvements in providing more consistent teacher feedback to parents/students
 - a focus on 'value-added' homework, not busy work
 - more science and art integration
 - ensuring creativity in assignments and assessments
 - Ensuring a balanced approach to education in CUSD with a 'whole child' focus.
- Metrics Below are designated (S) referring to required State Metric or (L) for Local Metric.

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	
1. Alignment to standards will be based on classroom observation, professional development, adopted instructional materials, and student achievement. (S)	See # 4 below.	See # 4 below.	See # 4 below.	See # 4 below.	
2. All students will have standards-aligned instructional materials, as measure by annual inventory, Williams Sufficiency evaluation. Sufficiency will include device and internet access at home for digital instructional materials and learning management system access. (S)	2016-17: Williams Sufficiency was met at 100%. In 2016-17, CUSD implemented a revised process of ensuring access to device and internet at home. Approximately 43 devices were loaned to students and low cost/no cost internet was provided to 1 family.	Maintain baseline.	Maintain baseline.	Maintain baseline.	
3. 100% of CUSD teachers will be appropriately credentialed and assigned. (S)	100% of teachers in 2016-17 were appropriately credentialed and assigned per internal audit.	Maintain baseline. External audit is planned.	Maintain baseline.	Maintain baseline.	
4.Academic Achievement in ELA (S)	Per Spring 2016 SBAC: Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11: SBAC ELA 73% of students met or exceeded standards. Subgroups, met/exceeded	Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11, and all identified subgroups: SBAC ELA +2% over previous year's percent of students meeting/exceeding standards.	Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11, and all identified subgroups: SBAC ELA +2% over previous year's percent of students meeting/exceeding standards.	Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11, and all identified subgroups: SBAC ELA +2% over previous year's percent of students meeting/exceeding standards.	

	standards: Socio-economically Disadvantaged: 58% Students with Disabilities: 32% Two or More Races: 69% EL: 8% RFEP: 69% Military: 74% Other subgroups of need may be identified based on previous year's performance.			
5. 100% of CUSD teachers will be appropriately credentialed and assigned. (S)	100% of teachers in 2016-17 were appropriately credentialed and assigned per internal audit.	Maintain baseline. External audit is planned.	Maintain baseline.	Maintain baseline.
6.Academic Achievement in ELA (S)	Per Spring 2016 SBAC: Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11: SBAC ELA 73% of students met or exceeded standards. Subgroups, met/exceeded standards: Socio-economically Disadvantaged: 58% Students with Disabilities: 32% Two or More Races: 69% EL: 8% RFEP: 69% Military: 74% Other subgroups of need may be identified based on previous year's performance.	Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11, and all identified subgroups: SBAC ELA +2% over previous year's percent of students meeting/exceeding standards.	Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11, and all identified subgroups: SBAC ELA +2% over previous year's percent of students meeting/exceeding standards.	Overall Student Achievement in grades 3-8, 11, and all identified subgroups: SBAC ELA +2% over previous year's percent of students meeting/exceeding standards.

7. Academic Achievement in Science (S)	N/A CAST Pilot Test	N/A CAST Field Test	Overall Student Achievement in grades 5,8, and one in high school (gr TBD): CAST Operational Test Student performance will be baseline.	Overall Student Achievement in grades 5,8, and one in high school (gr TBD): CAST student performance will be 2% above baseline.
8.Discrete and integrated VAPA instruction (L; per CUSD Arts Empower Strategic Plan)	Discrete VAPA instruction: total secondary CUSD Discrete Arts Enrollment: 43% of students are enrolled in the arts (baseline - 866/school enrollment 1,992) Integrated VAPA: units of instruction were piloted in grades 4 and 5 in 2015-16.	Discrete VAPA instruction: total secondary CUSD Discrete Arts Enrollment: 45% % of students participating in integrated VAPA instruction will be baseline.	Discrete VAPA instruction: total secondary CUSD Discrete Arts Enrollment: 47% % of students participating in integrated VAPA instruction will increase 5% over baseline.	Discrete VAPA instruction: total secondary CUSD Discrete Arts Enrollment: 51% % of students participating in integrated VAPA instruction will increase 10% over baseline.
9. Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test (PSAT) (L)	October 2016 % of students meeting benchmarks (baseline; first year of all students being tested with 100% participation in gr 9 and 11; 99% participation in gr 10): English/Reading/Writing (EWR) and Math: Gr 9: 65%; 45\$ Gr 10: 85%; 63% Gr 11: 84%; 63%	ERW and Math: +2% over previous year for each grade.	ERW and Math: +2% over previous year for each grade.	ERW and Math: +2% over previous year for each grade.
10. Graduation Rate (S)	97.6% (4-year cohort graduation rate for the class of 2015-16)	Maintain	Maintain	Maintain
11.UC A-G Rate (S)	80% in 2015-16	Maintain	Maintain	Maintain
12. AP Participation and AP Pass Rates (S)	33.3% participation rate in 2015-16 with a pass rate of 73%	36% participation rate in with a pass rate of 74%	37% participation rate in with a pass rate of 75%	38% participation rate in with a pass rate of 76%

13.CTE Participation and Achievement (S)	The percent of students (duplicated; 1016/1238) who participated in CTE courses in 2015-16 was 82%, an increase of 30% over the 2014-15 rate of 52% (631/1211). The percent of students who received a "C" or better in capstone CTE courses was 99% (2/210).	Maintain	Maintain	Maintain
14.Early Admission Program (EAP; based on SBAC scores for grade 11) (S)	Per Spring 2016 SBAC: Gr 11 Students who are considered Ready in ELA (Standard Exceeded): 35% (-8% over 2015); Students who are considered Conditionally Ready in ELA (Standard Met): 36% (-2% over 2015). Gr 11 Students who are considered Ready in Math (Standard Exceeded): 27% (+7 over 2015); Students who are considered Conditionally Ready in Math (Standard Met): 28% (-2% over 2015)	Gr 11 The percents of Students who are considered Ready in ELA and math (Standard Exceeded) and those who are considered Conditionally Ready in ELA and Math will increase 2% over previous the year.	Gr 11 The percents of Students who are considered Ready in ELA and math (Standard Exceeded) and those who are considered Conditionally Ready in ELA and Math will increase 2% over previous the year.	Gr 11 The percents of Students who are considered Ready in ELA and math (Standard Exceeded) and those who are considered Conditionally Ready in ELA and Math will increase 2% over previous the year.
15.Student and Teacher Digital Literacy (L)	No metric to date.	Metric to be developed.	Implement metric Year 1.	Improve over previous year.
16.Teacher PD on academic data systems (L)	TOSAs and other teacher leaders were trained on MMARS. All staff received presentation on CAASPP data and portal.	Grade level and department teacher data leaders will be trained.	To be determined based on need.	To be determined based on need.
17.CA Physical	2015-16; % of students in the Healthy Fitness Zone	% of students in the Healthy Fitness Zone (average of all 6	2015-16; % of students in the Healthy Fitness Zone (average	2015-16; % of students in the Healthy Fitness Zone (average

Fitness Test (PFT) (L)	(average of all 6 areas): Gr 5: 87% Gr7: 83% Gr 9: 87%	areas) will increase: Gr 5: 89% Gr7: 87%	of all 6 areas) will increase: Gr 5: 90% Gr7: 89%	of all 6 areas) will increase: Gr 5: 91% Gr7: 90%
18.PEPs (L)	86% of students in 2015-16 had a type of PEP.	Gr 9: 89% 90% of students will have a PEP.	Gr 9: 90% 95% of students will have a PEP.	Gr 9: 91% 100% of students will have a PEP.
19. Professional Development (L)	Teacher feedback has been via the CUSD Professional Development Committee.	Baseline: New Learning Department structure with teacher leaders will determine measuring effective PD.	TBD based on previous year's efforts.	TBD based on previous year's efforts.

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action 1					
For Actions/Services not included as con	ntributing to mee	ting the Increased or Improv	ed Services Requiremer	nt:	
Students to be Served	⊠ AII □ S	tudents with Disabilities 🔲 [[Specific Student Group(s)]		
Location(s)		Specific Schools:	DS	pecific Grade spans	
		OR			
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:					
Students to be Served	☐ English Learn	ers	Low Income		
	Scope of S	Gervices LEA-wide Group(s)	Schoolwide OR	Limited to Unduplicated Student	
Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific Schools:	Sp	ecific Grade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVICES					
2017-18		2018-19	2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ U	Jnchanged ☐ New		
All schools will provide standards-aligned core curriculum, assessment and high quality instruction to prepare all students to graduate college and career ready. A. CUSD will recruit and retain highly qualified appropriately credentialed teachers. Recruitment will include participation in county-wide job fairs, partnerships with local universities, and student-teacher placement coordinators. Retention of teachers will be measured by coordinated professional development with input from CUSD Department/Grade Level lead teachers and participation in BTSA. B. Students will receive instruction that is aligned to adopted CA Content Standards and Frameworks, including TK-12 transition to Next Generation Science Standards and new CA History Social Science Framework.		Continue as in 17-18, with em A. Transitions to NGSS and r Framework, arts integration a integration. Administer Field California Science Test (CAS 5, 8, and once in high school	new HSS and STEAM Test of ST) for grades A. Trans arts integ Operatio school (7	e as in previous years, with emphasis on: itions to NGSS and new HSS Framework, gration and STEAM integration. Administer and CAST for grades 5, 8, and once in high FBD). ment HSS instructional materials per 2018	

- C. Adoptions of core and supplementary instructional materials will follow established Ed Code and CUSD guidelines, Williams Law, and Governing Board policies. Adoptions of such materials will include consideration of CUSD Instructional Materials budgets, state adoption timelines, quality of available adoption materials, and will require that teachers' expertise and consultation is a significant part of the process. CUSD Instructional Materials traditional print-based include materials. manipulatives/equipment, and digital resources. A high functioning, reliable network infrastructure and devices will be maintained to support technology-based curricula and learning. Consider new instructional materials for History Social Science per 2017 State Board of Education adoption. D. Maintain current number of electives to exceed the state average including Career Technical Education/Pathway courses, and intervention sections and supports using all available resources to ensure that students' individual needs are met. Palm Academy alternative learning program and credit recovery opportunities will be available for identified students at Coronado High School. E. Improve performance in English language arts for grades
- TK-12 by:
 - providing professional development aligned to the CA ELA/ELD Framework for all teachers and paraprofessionals
 - ensuring that literacy strategies are integrated with instruction for all core content areas and electives and ensuring Instruction in grades 6-12 is aligned to the CA ELA standards for History Social Science, Science, and Technical Subjects.
- F. Improve performance in mathematics for grades TK-12 by:
 - providing professional development aligned to the CA Mathematics Framework for all teachers and paraprofessionals
 - CUSD's Vision for High Quality Mathematics Instruction, and adopted curricula (grades TK-5 Ready Common Core: grades 6-12 College Preparatory Math).
 - implementing all components of DoDEA Project Mathematics, Mindset, and Mastery (M3) through 2020, which includes

evaluation of high quality mathematics instruction in grades TK-12, mathematics performance, interventions for identified students, and professional development for all teachers and paraprofessionals of math.

- G. Improve performance in science for all TK-12 students by providing instruction aligned to Next Generation Science Standards. Implement Year 1 of 3-year science course sequence for incoming grade 9 students. Continue with NGSS aligned instruction for grades 6-8 (Yr. 5).
- H. Improve performance in history social science for all TK-12 students by providing instruction aligned to newly adopted CA History Social Science Framework. (*Refer to CUSD Transition Plans for HSS*).
- I. Improve performance in health and physical education by:
 - i. ensuring all students meet physical education instructional minutes
 - ii. ensuring all students pass the California Physical Fitness Test in grades 5, 7, and 9.
 - iii. providing professional development for certificated and classified instructional aides, especially for grades TK-5 aligned to adopted SPARK curriculum.
 - iv. evaluating TK-12 health standards, instructional minutes and instructional practices, and curricula.
- J. Improve performance in visual and performing arts, providing both discrete and integrated arts instruction, by:
 - i. fully implementing DoDEA Project Arts for Learning (Year 1), which provides for arts integration with ELA in grades K-8, and ELA/History in grades 9-12, some elementary discrete arts instruction, professional development and curriculum writing, and student performance opportunities.
 - ii. evaluating the effectiveness of arts integration through its impact on student achievement in English language arts, student engagement, and attendance.
 - maintaining current grade 6-12 VAPA and Coronado School of the Arts electives and extra-curricular VAPA related offerings.

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$26,455,897	Amount	\$26,890,854	Amount	\$27,410,973
Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources
Budget Reference	Remaining General Fund Budget not specifically discussed elsewhere.	Budget Reference	Remaining General Fund Budget not specifically discussed elsewhere.	Budget Reference	Remaining General Fund Budget not specifically discussed elsewhere.

Action 2			
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to me	eeting the Increased or Imp	proved Services Requirement:	
Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students with	Disabilities Specific Student Gro	pup(s)]
<u>Location(s)</u>	All schools Spec	ific Schools:	☐ Specific Grade spans:
	OR		
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting	ng the Increased or Improve	ed Services Requirement:	
Students to be Served	□ English Learners □	Foster Youth x∑ Low Income	
	Scope o	Sch to Unduplicated Student €	oolwide OR Limited Group(s)
<u>Location(s)</u>	□ English Learners □	Foster Youth x∑ Low Income	
ACTIONS/SERVICES			
2017-18		2018-19	2019-20
New		☐ New ☒ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
Improve performance in English language arts for all identified students, including unduplicated student groups by: i. ensuring school administration annually identifies students in these subgroups, reviews data, and offers available supports and places students appropriately. ii. assigning CUSD ELA/ELD Teacher on Special Assignment (EL subgroup), Special Education case carriers (students with disabilities subgroup), and site administrators (socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup) to serve as case carriers for these students. iii. Developing a Personalized Education Plan (PEP) with the involvement of the student and parents for each student in these subgroups.		Continue; services will be based on needs per data analysis.	Continue; services will be based on needs per data analysis.

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$120,000		Amount	\$120,000	Amount	\$120,000
Source	LCFF SUPPLEMENTAL		Source	LCFF SUPPLEMENTAL	Source	LCFF SUPPLEMENTAL
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000003 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx		Budget Reference	Resource: 0000003 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000003 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx
Action 3						
For Actions/Services not inc	luded as contributing to m	eeting the Increased or Im	proved Services F	Requirement:		
Students to be Served All Students with Disabilities Signature Student Group(s) Including Students with disabilities and Asian students					ified students	
	Location(s)		cific Schools:		☐ Specific G	rade spans:
		OR				
For Actions/Services include	ed as contributing to meeti	ng the Increased or Improv	ved Services Requ	uirement:		
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	Foster Youth	☐ Low Income		
		Scope (EA-wide Schonduplicated Student G		R Limited
	Location(s)	All schools Spec	cific Schools:		☐ Specific G	rade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICES						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
New ☐ Modified ☐ Un	changed		☐ New ☒ Mo Unchanged	dified	☐ New ☐ Unchanged	Modified
Improve performance in mathematics for identified students, including students with disabilities and those who are Asian by: i. ensuring school administration annually identifies students in this				Continue; services will be based on needs per data analysis. Continue; services will based on needs per data		

subgroup, rev students appro ii. CUSD Mathe Education cas as case carri (PEP) will be parents.	cial rve rlan		analysis.			
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES	<u>3</u>					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$100,000		Amount	\$100,000	Amount	\$100,000
Source	LCFF Base and other Sources	State and Federal Fundir	ng Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources
Budget Reference	Resources: 0000000, Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx	9010502	Budget Reference	Resources: 0000000, 9010502 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx	Budget Reference	Resources: 0000000, 9010502 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx
Action 4						
For Actions/Services not inc	cluded as contributing to	meeting the Increased o	r Improved Services	Requirement:		
	Students to be Served		ith Disabilities 🔲 [S	Specific Student Group	(s)]	
	Location(s)		ecific Schools:		Specific Grad	le spans:
		OR				
For Actions/Services include	ed as contributing to me	eting the Increased or Im	proved Services Red	quirement:		
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster Youth [Low Income		
Scope of Services LEA-wide Schoolwide OR Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)						
	Location(s)	All schools Sp	ecific Schools:		Specific Grad	le spans:

ACTIONS/SERVICES

2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
New	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
All schools will provide opportunities to personalize learning for students based on strengths and needs, personal passions, and academic interests. A. CUSD will provide a system of ongoing feedback to and for all CUSD students on their strengths and needs to support students' advocacy for their own learning which will include: a. time for goal setting and reflection with teachers b. documentation of interests, strengths, and needs in electronic portfolio c. "Voice and choice" via projects, assessments, and assignments. B. The CUSD Personalized Learning Committee will develop criteria for Personalized Education Plans for all levels/grades, conferencing best practices, as well as investigation of warehousing PEPs via electronic portfolios.	Continue as previous year; key actions will be determined by last year's progress.	Continue as previous year; key actions will be determined by last year's progress.

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	Included in Goal #1, Action #1	Amount	Included in Goal #1, Action #1	Amount	Included in Goal #1, Action #1
Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources
Budget Reference	See above	Budget Reference	See above	Budget Reference	See above

Action **5**

For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:					
Students to be Served		Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)]			
Location(s)		fic Schools: Sp	ecific Grade spans:		
		OR			
For Actions/Services included as contributing	g to meeting the Increased	or Improved Services Requirement:			
Students to be Served	☐ English Learners ☐	Foster Youth			
	Scope	LEA-wide Schoolw Unduplicated Student Group(s			
Location(s)	All schools Speci	fic Schools: Sp	ecific Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVICES					
2017-18		2018-19	2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		
 All schools will ensure access to and proficiency of 21st century learning tools, resources, and skills for staff and students. A. Instruction based on CUSD K-5 Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence will continue to ensure digital proficiency for TK-5 students. B. A Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence for grades 6-12 will be created to parallel the TK-5 Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence. C. All student groups will receive instruction from teachers with an increased capacity for 21st century best practices for instruction. A survey of teachers' needs in these areas is pending prior to the development of digital proficiency teacher guidelines, and following the development of gr 6-12 student skills. D. Effectiveness of digital learning resources will be evaluated annually. 		Continue as in previous year with emphasis on implementation of Digital Literacy Scope and Sequence for grades 6-12 (Year 1 of implementation).	Continue, based on evaluation and need.		

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	Included in Goal #1, Action	#1	Amount	Included in Goal #1, Action #1	Amount	Included in Goal #1, Action #1
Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources		Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base and other State and Federal Funding Sources
Budget Reference	See above		Budget Reference	See above	Budget Reference	See above
Action	6					
For Actions/Ser	vices not included as con	tributing to meeting the Increa	ased or Improved Servi	ces Requirement:		
	Students to be Served		isabilities	Student Group(s)]		
	Location(s)		ic Schools: Specific Grade spans:			
			OR			
For Actions/Ser	vices included as contribu	iting to meeting the Increased	d or Improved Services	Requirement:		
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners ☐ F	oster Youth	Income		
		Scope of S	Services	Schoolwide Student Group(s)	OR 🗌	Limited to
	Location(s)	All schools Specific	Schools:	Spe	cific Grade spans	:
ACTIONS/SERV	<u>ICES</u>					
2017-18 2018-19 2019-20						
☐ New ☐ Mo	dified		□ New	☐ Unchanged ☐	New 🛭 Modif	ied
certificated and State Standard	I classified staff to ensu s and Frameworks, adopt	re implementation of CA	emphasis on areas identified by Learning Department Leadership Team De		Continue as in previous year with emphasis on areas identified by Learning Department Leadership Team and Student Services, as well as surveys,	

students with disabilities, and other identified special populations.

- A. CUSD will plan for District-wide training on universal access to support all learners and explore *Kids Included Together* (KIT) program. Professional development for coteaching will continue to be a priority.
- B. CUSD will provide trainings for all teachers and staff on meaningful strategies to support English Learners.
- C. Academically-related trainings will include paraprofessionals when appropriate to content or service.
- D. Classified professional development will be coordinated by the Learning Department using feedback from department managers and staff survey.
- E. Certificated professional development will be coordinated by the Learning Department using feedback from Learning Department Leadership Team and Student Services, as well as surveys, observations, and evaluations. PD will be relevant to the department and/or grade level and will be directed by clearly articulated objectives and agenda. The Learning Department Leadership Team will design an annual PD plan for certificated staff that includes district and site goal setting, mapping, and end of the year reflection. The Learning Department Leadership Team will design department/grade level specific experiences and provide 'voice and choice 'for teachers based on their needs.
- F. Professional development experiences will include designated time (where appropriate) for staff to 'apply and try'.
- G. Teachers' personal growth goals will be in conjunction with their annual evaluation goals.
- H. Explore a system for tracking PD.

surveys, observations, and evaluations. observations, and evaluations.

2040 20

BUDGETED EXPENDITURES

2047 40

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$300,000	Amount	\$300,000	Amount	\$300,000
Source	LCFF Base and Supplemental	Source	LCFF Base and Supplemental	Source	LCFF Base and Supplemental
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Objects: 1xxx-3xxx, 5xxx \$270,000 Resource: 0000003 Objects: 1xxx-3xxx, 5xxx \$30,000	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Objects: 1xxx- 3xxx, 5xxx \$270,000 Resource: 0000003	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Objects: 1xxx-3xxx, 5xxx \$270,000 Resource: 0000003 Objects: 1xxx-3xxx, 5xxx

2040 40

	Objects: 1xxx- 3xxx, 5xxx \$30,000	\$30,000
Action 7		
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting	the Increased or Improved Services Requi	rement:
Students to be Served	s with Disabilities	p(s)]
Location(s) All schools	Specific Schools: Silver Strand and Village Ele	mentary Schools
	OR	
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the	Increased or Improved Services Requirem	ent:
Students to be Served	☐ Foster Youth ☐ Low Income	
Scope	LEA-wide Student Group(s)	de OR Limited to Unduplicated
Location(s) All schools	Specific Schools:	Specific Grade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICES		
2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
New	☐ New ☑ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
Develop and implement elementary high quality grading and report system, based on a standards-based philosophy. A. Train all staff on CUSD Elementary Foundations for High Qu Grading and Reporting System, developed in 2016-17 by CU Elementary Grading Criteria Committee with input from tead survey. This training will include grading for students in both gen and special education. B. Each grade level team will develop common understanding of criteria for each level of mastery (below, developing, secure, exceeding) for key standards, especially in core content areas mathematics and ELA. Resources will be developed to sup alignment and implementation. C. Common criteria for assessing and grading will be used by teachers within a grade. All teachers will understand the continuous	actions will depend on previous year's evaluation. JSD cher deral and and and so of port y all	Continue; evaluate and revise. Key actions will depend on previous year's evaluation.

expectations from grade to grade (vertical alignment). Resources will be developed to support alignment and implementation. D. The CUSD Elementary Report Card will be revised and provided to parents in 2017-18 to reflect consistent standards-based grading/reporting and provide parents with an informative, parent-friendly status update on student present level of academic performance and citizenship each semester. E. Research and implement as appropriate teacher-friendly electronic grading and reporting system, including grade book and report card generation.							
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITL</u>	JRES .					
2017-18				2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$5,00	00		Amount	\$5,000	Amount	\$5,000
Source	LCFF	Base		Source	LCFF Base	Source	LCFF Base
Budget Reference		Resource: 0000000 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx		Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx
		□ New			Unchanged		
Goal 2 Communication: Communicate openly, freely, and accurately to engage and involve all shareholders.					e all shareholders.		
State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal: STATE 1 2 3 4 5 COE 9 10 LOCAL Governing Board Goal 2				□5 ⊠6 □7 □8			

Identified Need

- As a preschool adult education unified district, CUSD serves as a critically important component
 of our small, involved community. Clear, frequent communication via written, digital, and face-toface methods with our shareholders is essential.
- Feedback from shareholders is essential to CUSD Strategic Local Control Accountability Planning process.
- Approximately 40% of CUSD students (2016-17 data) are military dependent, the largest demographic subgroup in our district.
- English Learners, Initial Fluent English Proficient (IFEP), and Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) students represent 9% of all CUSD enrollment (per fall1 2016 CALPADS 2.9), which is an increase of 21% over the previous year. This is a growing demographic in CUSD.
- The fall 2016 parent survey (373 participants) on the topic of communication showed that:
 - o 90% of our parents shared that they understand our district attendance policy.
 - 84% of our parents believe that school communication with families occurs in an open and respectful manner.
 - 80% of our parents shared that they are comfortable talking with their son/daughter's teacher(s).
 - Approximately 40% do not feel that our district provides information on how parents can help or support student learning at home.
 - Approximately 30% of our parents do not believe that our district maintains open lines of communication for members of our community to ask questions and/or express concerns.
 - Approximately 30% of our parents do not feel connected to and informed about their child's experience in CUSD.
 - Themes, concerns and questions from survey responses showed parents desire for:
 - streamlining/consolidating communication tools/resources (Haiku, Naviance, Synergy, Websites, etc.) as there are many of them with little to no coherence requiring multiple passwords for parents
 - Improved consistency of use of communication tools (Haiku, email, newsletters)
 - more timely responsiveness of teachers to parents when students need intervention and/or may benefit from enrichment/acceleration
 - an increase in supports for new families (Haiku/Powerschool night, Online registration, etc.)
 - more timely communication of significant shifts to schedule, staffing, programs, etc.

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Parent Survey Data Participation Counts	2016-17: Fall (Communication): 373 Winter (Learning): 279 Spring (Support PENDING):	Increase participation on all CUSD parent surveys TBD	Increase participation on all CUSD parent surveys TBD	Increase participation on all CUSD parent surveys TBD
2. Haiku/Powerschool	2016-17 (as of April 27,	Maintain student use; inventory teacher use;	Maintain student use; increase consistent teacher use based	Maintain student use; increase teacher use; increase parent

usage data	-There were ~874,000 visits by students totaling ~83,000 hours. The top student uses include inbox, calendar, Dropbox, assessments, first pages, announcements, and discussions. -There were ~38,000 visits by teachers totaling ~7,800 hours. -There were ~60,000 visits by parents totaling ~3,000 hours.	increase parent use.	on previous year's inventory; increase parent use.	use.
3.Elementary parent- teacher conference data	Fall 2016: 98% of Village Elementary parents participated in annual parent- teacher conferencing and 96% at Silver Strand Elementary.	Increase parent conference participation: VES: 98.5% SSES: 97.5	Increase parent conference participation: VES: 99% SSES: 98%	Increase parent conference participation: VES: maintain SSES: 98.5%
4.Required parent- school participation	2016-17: -All schools have a compliant, functioning School Site Council. -All schools have a robust Parent Teacher Organization. -All schools with an EL count over 21 have an English Learner Advisory (VES and SSES). -CUSD has a District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC). -CUSD has a Special Education Parent Advisory Committee (SEPAC). -CUSD has a Parent Leadership Committee which represents parents from each	Maintain and restructure ELAC to be a D/ELAC due to the small size of EL population and District.	Maintain and restructure ELAC to be a D/ELAC due to the small size of EL population and District.	Maintain and restructure ELAC to be a D/ELAC due to the small size of EL population and District.

	site.			
5.Parent Trainings	2016-17: Face to Face Trainings: 28+ trainings were provided to CUSD parents on a variety of topics: Back to School; reading, mathematics, and academic interventions; English learners; social emotional support, social media, bullying, positive discipline, suicide awareness; college and career, and parenting. Virtual Trainings (Playposit): none	Face to Face: Maintain and reflect parent needs Virtual Trainings (Playposit): Baseline	Face to Face: Maintain and reflect parent needs Virtual Trainings (Playposit): Increase over baseline TBD	Face to Face: Maintain and reflect parent needs Virtual Trainings (Playposit): TBD
6.Staff Surveys and Department Metrics	none	Staff surveys and metrics for assessing department effectiveness will be developed.	Evaluate tools developed in previous year and their effectiveness; amend as necessary.	Key action will depend on previous year's evaluation.

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action 1							
For Actions/Services not included as con	ntributing to mee	ting the Increased or Im	proved Services Re	equirement:			
Students to be Served	⊠ All □ St	tudents with Disabilities	☐ [Specific Student	Group(s)]			
Location(s)		Specific Schools: _		Specific Grade spans:			
	OR						
For Actions/Services included as contrib	outing to meeting	the Increased or Impro	ved Services Requi	rement:			
Students to be Served	☐ English Learn	ners	☐ Low Income				
	Scope of S	Services	Schoolwide	OR			
Location(s)	All schools	☐ Specific Schools: _		Specific Grade spans:			
ACTIONS/SERVICES							
2017-18		2018-19		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified	Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged			
Shareholder participation, communication engagement will continue to be a priority students. A. CUSD will research a single sign-on streamline communication from school streamline communication from school streamline communication from school Site CuSD will inventory teacher usage of management system (Haiku) for all school Site CuSD will regularly hold required (Ellocal parent participation committee including School Site Councils (SSC site English Learner Advisory Communication) (D/ELAC), District Parent Leadershi	portal to pol to home. of learning grades. id Code) and meetings c), District and nittees	A. Implement single sign previous year's efforts. B. CUSD will increase of learning management straining management strainings.	onsistent usage of ystem (Haiku) for entory.	A. Evaluate single sign-on.B. Maintain or increase, based on previous year's data.C-F. Maintain, and evaluate virtual parent trainings.			

(PTO).

- D. Annual surveys regarding Board Goal areas will be conducted to inform progress of District efforts, especially on communication. Surveys may include parents, teachers, staff, and students
- E. CUSD will strive for 100% participation of TK-5 parents at annual fall parent-teacher conferences (both face-to-face and virtual conferences).
- F. Increase the number of parents participating in trainings offered by CUSD via virtual and face-to-face methods. Pilot use of virtual trainings for parents using Playposit or other tools.

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$30,000	Amount	\$30,000	Amount	\$30,000
Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000670 Objects: 5xxx Operating Unit: 060	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000670 Objects: 5xxx Operating Unit: 060	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000670 Objects: 5xxx Operating Unit: 060

Action	2
--------	---

For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:							
Students to be Served		nts with D	isabilities	[Specific Student	Group(s)]		
Location(s)		Specific	Schools:		_	ecific Grade spa	ans:
			OR				
For Actions/Services included as contribu	ting to meeting the	Increase	ed or Improved	Services Requir	rement:		
Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	□ F	oster Youth	Low Income			
	Scope of S	Services	LEA-wide Group(s)	Schoolwid	le OR	Limite	d to Unduplicated Student
Location(s)	All schools	Specific	Schools:		_	ecific Grade spa	ans:
ACTIONS/SERVICES							
2017-18		2018-19)		2019-20		
		☐ New		Unchanged	☐ New		Unchanged
The CUSD District Office will provide timel service to CUSD school sites. A. Increase support to school sites under of the CUSD Director of Learning via: a. teachers on special assignment mathematics/science, ELA/El integration, technology integration, technology integration, teacher leaders for each element each Coronado Middle School each Coronado High School each Coronado High School each Coronado High School each Coronado with uniform states to support CUSD school site (M&O, The Support CUSD school site (M&O, The Superintendent will conduct a mit monthly meeting with leadership of Assistance in the service of the service o	er the supervision ent to support LD, arts ation, and mentary grade; ol department; department issified indards of services echnology, c.). e support. nimum of one	under the Director B. Evaluation classifier uniform support Technol Nutrition C-D. Marie E. Evaluation		f the CUSD ity structure for aligned with vices to ite (M&O, Services, Child		ns and actions.	based on previous year's

Coronado 7 Employees E. School site communica							
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES NDITURES						
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
Amount	1,600,000		Amount	1,600,000)	Amount	1,600,000
Source	LCFF BASE		Source	LCFF BA	SE	Source	LCFF BASE
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000 Objects: 1xxx-3xxx Operating Units: 00	1, 009, 010, 015	Budget Reference		: 1xxx-3xxx ng Units: 001,	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000 Objects: 1xxx-3xxx Operating Units: 001, 009, 010, 015
Action 3						1	
For Actions/Service	ces not included as co	ntributing to meeting	the Increase	ed or Impro	oved Services Re	equirement:	
	Students to be Served	⊠ All ☐ Stude	ents with Disal	oilities [Specific Student	: Group(s)]	
	Location(s)		Specific Schools: Specific Grade spans:			fic Grade spans:	
				OR			
For Actions/Service	ces included as contrib	outing to meeting the	Increased c	r Improved	l Services Requi	rement:	
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Fost	er Youth	Low Income		
		Scope of		LEA-wide roup(s)	Schoolwid	de OR	Limited to Unduplicated Student
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific Sc	hools:		_	fic Grade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u>ES</u>						
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20	
☐ New ⊠ Modifi	ed Unchanged		☐ New ☐	Modified	□ Unchanged	☐ New ☐	☐ Modified Unchanged

CUSD and each school site will provide timely and informative communication to the CUSD community. A. CUSD Communique will be posted on the CUSD webpage on average biweekly. B. Site principals' will provide weekly information to parents/community via email blasts, newsletters, etc. C. Information as appropriate to topic and schools will be provided to print and electronic media outlets.		SD to	Maintain		Maintain		
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES							
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
Amount	\$40,0	000		Amount	\$40,000	Amount	\$40,000
Source	LCFI	F BASE		Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE
Budget Reference	Obje	ource: 0000670 ects: 5xxx erating Unit: 060		Budget Reference	Resource: 0000670 Objects: 5xxx Operating Unit: 060	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000670 Objects: 5xxx Operating Unit: 060
						-	
		☐ New	Modified		Unchanged		
Goal 3		Support: Maintain sa	fe and su	pportive sc	hools where students	and staff th	rive.
COE			1				
Identified Need				ats need to learn in a physically and emotionally safe learning environment.			· · ·

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
1.Williams Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT)	2015-16: 100% of CUSD schools received an overall "good" rating on Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT).	Maintain, with understanding of Board philosophy of planned degradation of facilities due to budget constraints.	Maintain, with understanding of Board philosophy of planned degradation of facilities due to budget constraints.	Maintain, with understanding of Board philosophy of planned degradation of facilities due to budget constraints.
2.Required Safety Drills: Elementary: monthly CMS: 4 times a year CHS: 2 times a year	2016-17: All schools held required safety drills.	Maintain	Maintain	Maintain
3.CA Healthy Kids Survey (State required, locally reported; administered every 2 years)	Spring 2017: Participation Rate: Gr. 5: 54% Gr. 7: 90% Gr. 11: 78% School Connectedness: Gr. 5: 62% Gr. 7: 73% Gr. 11: 66% Caring Adult Relationships Gr. 5: 64% Gr. 7: 46% Gr. 11: 44% Feel Safe at School Gr. 5: 87% Gr. 7: 79% Gr. 11: 87%	N/A	TBD improve +2 % over 2017 baseline.	N/A
4.Number of Existing Academic Intervention Sections and Services for General Education; % FTE dedicated to Intervention	CHS (Credit Recovery, Math Support, Palm): 12 sections CMS (Math Support 6, Literacy Lab 6, Academic Lab 7/8):			

	3 sections SSES: 3.4% FTE for ASE VES: 2.14% FTE for ASE			
5.P2 Attendance Rate (annually in April)	The 2016-17 P2 attendance rate is 95.51%, an increase of .68% over 2015-16 P2.	Increase .5% over previous year.	Increase .5% over previous year.	Increase .5% over previous year.
6.Chronic Absenteeism Rate	As of spring 2017 P2 (April), the chronic absenteeism rate for CUSD is 16%, an increase of 3% over spring 2016 P2. CHS: 22% (+9.6%) CMS: 9% (-5.2%) SSES: 13% (-2.2%) VES: 13% (+1)	Decrease chronic absenteeism overall and at each site by 2% over previous year.	Decrease chronic absenteeism overall and at each site by 2% over previous year.	Decrease chronic absenteeism overall and at each site by 2% over previous year.
7.Suspensions and Expulsions	2015-16: 2.5% of students were suspended; there were no expulsions.	Decrease suspensions at all schools by .5%	Decreased suspensions at all schools by .5%	Decreased suspensions at all schools by .5%
8.Dropout Counts	2015-16: CHS was 5; CMS was 4.	Reduce the dropout counts at each secondary school by 25%.	Reduce the dropout counts at each secondary school by 25%.	Reduce the dropout counts at each secondary school by 25%.
9.%FTE and Type of Counseling Services	2016-17: Guidance Counselors: 1.0 @ CMS; 3.8 @ CHS Clinical Counselors: 3.5 Educationally Related Mental Health (ERMHs) Professionals: 1.25 Military Family Life Counselors: 3.0	Maintain	Maintain	Maintain

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action 1									
For Actions/Service	For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
	Students to be Served	⊠ All □ Stu	dents with Disa	abilities [Specific Student	Group(s)]			
	Location(s)		☐ Specific S	Schools:		Specific Grade spans:			
				OR					
For Actions/Service	ces included as contrib	outing to meeting t	he Increased	or Improve	d Services Requir	rement:			
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learne	rs 🗌 Fos	ster Youth	☐ Low Income				
	Scope of Services						Limited to Unduplicated Student		
	Location(s)	Location(s) All schools Specific Schools:				Specific Grade spans:			
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u>s</u>								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modifi	ed		☐ New ☐] Modified [☑ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☒ Unchanged			
CUSD will provide and staff. A. CASLE con environments, incluconditions will be imported through 2020. B. Maintenance School Dude will be	Maintain; key actions in future years will be developed per baseline data.			Maintain; key actions in future years will be developed per baseline data.					
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	3,600,000		Amount	3,600,000		Amount	3,600,000		

Source	LCFF Base	Source	LCFF Base		Source	LCFF Base		
Budget Reference	Operating Unit: 070	Budget Reference	Operating	J Unit : 070	Budget Reference	Operating Unit: 070		
Action	2							
For Actions/Servi	ces not included as contributing to mee	ting the Increa	sed or Impr	oved Services Re	equirement:			
	Students to be Served	⊠ All □ St	tudents with I	Disabilities 🔲 [Specific Studer	nt Group(s)]		
	Location(s)	All schools	Specif	ic Schools:		Specific Grade spans:		
OR								
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contributing to meeting	the Increased	or Improved	d Services Requi	rement:			
	Students to be Served [☐ English Learr	ners 🗌	Foster Youth	Low Income	9		
			Scope (of Convided —		Schoolwide OR cated Student Group(s)		
	Location(s)	All schools	Specif	ic Schools:		Specific Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18				2018-19		2019-20		
☐ New ⊠ Modif	□ New ☑ New ☑ Modified □ New ☐ Modified ☑ Unchanged							
A. New site safety	All school sites will annually update safety plans using adopted CA template and follow the requirements therein. A. New site safety plans will be implemented (Yr. 1); community involvement (Coronado Police and Fire Departments and Naval Base Coronado) will be incorporated into practice drills. Continue; based on learning from 2017-18, the CUSD site safety plans will be revised. Continue; based on learning from 2018-19, the CUSD site safety plans will be revised.							

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
Amount	\$6,000		Amount	\$6,000	Amount	\$6,000		
Source	LCFF BASE		Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE		
Budget Reference	Included in Site Budgets Operating Units: 100, 30	Budget Reference	Included in Site Budgets Operating Units: 100, 300, 400, 600	Budget Reference	Included in Site Budgets Operating Units: 100, 300, 400, 600			
Action 3								
For Actions/Services not inc	cluded as contributing to me	eeting the Increased or Imp	roved Services Re	equirement:				
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students with	Disabilities 🗌 🛚	Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)]				
	Location(s)	All schools Spec	ific Schools: Specific Grade spans:					
		OR						
For Actions/Services include	ed as contributing to meetir	ng the Increased or Improve	ed Services Requi	rement:				
	Students to be Served		rs Foster Youth Low Income					
		Scope	of Sorvices —	EA-wide Sted to Unduplicated	choolwide I Student Grou	OR		
	Location(s)	All schools Spec	ific Schools:		☐ Specific C	Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVICES								
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
☐ New x ☒ Modified ☐ U	Inchanged		☐ New ☐ Mo Unchanged	dified	☐ New ☐ Unchanged	Modified		
Through data-driven decisio enrichment experiences that		evaluation of MT	Key actions will be based on evaluation of MTSS for each site and district-wide. Use of LCFF Key actions will be based on evaluation of MTSS for each site and district-wide. Use					

and mathematics, and particularly for identified unduplicated pupils

- A. Ensure each site maintains a system for multi-tiered supports based on CUSD Multitiered System of Supports (MTSS) Framework.
- B. Assess success of current site intervention services and enrichment opportunities through the lens of student growth metrics such as MAP and other available data.
- C. Provide accelerated learning experiences at all sites in core and elective content areas.
- D. Maintain use of available district interim/benchmark assessments and continue to develop them and use them formatively.
- E. Support appropriate inclusion at all schools for identified students.
- F. Implement Beyond SST, a system designed to track evidence of need and applied interventions for all students involved in Student Success/Study Team process. Beyond SST will connect to Special Education Information System (SEIS).
- G. Ensure appropriate and full use of DoDEA grant funding, LCFF Supplemental funds, Targeted Intervention Improvement Grant (TIIG), Special Education and other funds to meet the needs of identified students.
- H. Provide training and access for teachers and related staff on academic data systems such as the CA School Dashboard, MMARS, CAASPP, NWEA MAP, SEIS, Beyond SST, and other data resources.

Supplemental Funds will support unduplicated populations and their needs.

LCFF Supplemental Funds will support unduplicated populations and their needs.

BUDGETED EXPENDITURES

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$ 243,298	Amount	\$289,737	Amount	\$289,737
Source	LCFF Base, LCFF Supplemental and other State Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base, LCFF Supplemental and other State Funding Sources	Source	LCFF Base, LCFF Supplemental and other State Funding Sources
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000003 Objects: 1xxx-5xxx (\$59,298) Resource: 0000004 (Entire Resource) (\$169,000)	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000003 (\$105,737) Resource: 0000004	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000003 (\$141,007) Resource: 0000004

Page **69** of **76**

					(\$15,0			500000 515,000)
Action 4								
For Actions/Services not inc	cluded as contributing to	meeting the Increas	sed or Impr	oved Ser	vices Requireme	ent:		
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Stude	ents with Dis	abilities	Specific Stu	dent Group(s)	l	
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Specific	Schools: _		S	pecific Grade	spans:
			OR					
For Actions/Services includ	led as contributing to mee	eting the Increased	or Improve	d Service	s Requirement:			
	Students to be Served	⊠ English Learners	s 🗌 Fo	ster Youth	☐ Low Inco	ome		
			Scope of	<u>Services</u>	∠ LEA-wide to Unduplicated	Schoolw		Limited
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific	Schools: _		S	pecific Grade	spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICES								
2017-18			201	18-19			2019-20	
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Ur	nchanged			New 🛛	Modified 🗌 Un	changed	☐ New ☐ Unchanged	Modified
Language Development (ELD) B. Provide professional language Designed Academic Instruction Acquisition Design (GLAD) str. C. Monitor academic professional Reclassified Fluent English Professional Reclas	ng, writing, speaking, and areer ready. o less than 30 minutes daily) time. earning for all teachers on in in English (SDAIE) and/or rategies. gress in ELA and math for a roficient students. eclassification celebrations a	listening skills in of designated Englis ntegrated ELD/Special Guided Language	Lar Cal act EL/	nguage Pro Iifornia (EL	d implement Year oficiency Assessm. PAC) to replace (e based on the ne oulations.	nents of CELDT; key	Year 2 of En Proficiency A California (E replace CEL	DT; key actions don the needs

Resource: 6500000 (\$15,000) **Object:** 5xxx

(\$169,000)

Resource:

(\$169,000)

Resource:

Committee (Committee (D/ELAC) participation F. Provide professional learning for all teachers on adopted CA ELA/ELD						
BUDGETED	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
Amount	\$ 200,000		Amount	\$ 200,000	Amount	\$ 200,000	
Source	LCFF Supplemental	Source	LCFF Supplemental	Source	LCFF Supplemental		
Budget Reference	Resource: 00000003 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx, 5xxx	Budget Reference	Resource: 00000003 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx, 5xxx	Budget Reference	Resource: 00000003 Objects: 1xxx, 3xxx, 5xxx		
Action	5						
For Actions	S/Services not included as contrib	uting to meeting the Increased or	Improved Services I	Requirement:			
	Students to be Served		lities [Specific S	tudent Group(s)]		_	
	Location(s)		hools: Specific Grade spans:				
		OR					
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:							
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners ☐ Foster	Youth Low In	come			
Scope of Services LEA-wide Schoolwide OR Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)						Limited to	
	Location(s)	All schools Specific Sch	ools:	Specific G	rade spans:		

ACTIONS/SERVICES

2017-18				2018-19		2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged				☐ New ☐ Modified	☑ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		
Improve CUSD attendance and chronic absenteeism rates for all students. A. Ensure all site attendance is accurately reported daily into Synergy. B. Ensure all site attendance clerks and identified site administrators notify parents on a weekly basis of absences, including providing official CUSD absence threshold letters to parents on a timely basis. C. Ensure all sites promote and/or incentivize positive attendance. D. Ensure all sites hold appropriate SART and SARB meetings for students whose attendance reaches appropriate thresholds. E. Provide reports to the Governing Board on district attendance each semester.			Continue; key actions evaluation of previous actions.		Continue; key actions will be based on evaluation of previous year's key actions.			
BUDGETED E	XPENDITURES							
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20			
Amount	\$ 950,000			Amount	\$ 950,000	Amount	\$ 950,000	
Source	LCFF BASE			Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE	
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Object: 2400001 Operating Units: 010, 100, 300, 400, 600		Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Object: 2400001 Operating Units: 010, 100, 300, 400, 600	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Object: 2400001 Operating Units: 010, 100, 300, 400, 600		
Action	6							
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increase				ed or Improved Service	es Requirement:			
Students to be Served Students needing behavior intervent					Student Group(s)]:			
	Location(s)		☐ Specific S	Schools:				

OR OR							
For Actions/Service	es included as contribu	ting to meeting th	ne Increase	d or Improved Se	ervices Requirement:		
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learne	ers 🗌 F	oster Youth	Low Income		
Scope of Services LEA-wide Schoolwide OR Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)							
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specifi	c Schools:	:	Specific Grade sp	ans:
ACTIONS/SERVICES							
2017-18				2018-19		2019-20	
☐ New ☐ Modified	d 🗌 Unchanged			☐ New ☒ Mo Unchanged	odified	□ New ⊠ N	Modified Unchanged
Decrease suspension rate at Coronado Middle and High Schools, especially for students with disabilities, socio-economically disadvantaged students, English Learners, African American student, Hispanic students, and students with two or more races. A. Secondary schools will continue to provide behavior intervention supports. B. Baseline for elementary grades. Implement Positive Behavior Intervention System (PBIS), a data entry system for coding and tracking a hierarchy of interventions as it relates to infractions and number of infractions, and which connects to Synergy. 2017-18 pilot year: train appropriate staff and develop a plan for full implementation.			Continue; Year 1 implementation of PBIS.		Continue; evaluate PBIS.		
BUDGETED EXPENDITURES							
2017-18		2018-19		2019-20			
Amount	\$20,000			Amount	\$20,000	Amount	\$20,000
Source	LCFF BASE			Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE
Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Object: 5xxx Operating Unit: 010			Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Object: 5xxx Operating Unit:	Budget Reference	Resource: 0000000 Object: 5xxx Operating Unit: 010

		010			
Action 7					
For Actions/Services not included as co	ontributing to meeting the Inc	reased or Improved Services Require	ement:		
Students to be Served		Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)]			
Location(s)		c Schools:	Specific Grade spans:		
		OR			
For Actions/Services included as contr	ibuting to meeting the Increas	sed or Improved Services Requireme	nt:		
Students to be Served	Students to be Served				
Scope of Services LEA-wide Student Group(s)					
Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Specific	c Schools:	Specific Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVICES					
2017-18		2018-19	2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified ☒ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		
Provide appropriate social/emotional staff in a variety of ways in a continuu guidance counseling to clinical counsel A. Clinical Counselors and Educati (ERMHS) Counselors will provide claindividual counseling, walk-in counseling parent consultation, and group counseling data collection from these programs to particularly student social emotional needs across the data driven decisions will be made ensurant support. A Risk Management Team (Fidentified at the beginning of each school counseling services and supports will be RTM will follow the continuum of counseling support. B. The Director of Student Services will be staff and the property of the support of the property of the	m of services ranging from ling. onal Related Mental Health assroom/staff presentations, risk management services, ag. They will review ongoing provide formative analysis of edistrict. Due to this analysis, uring the appropriateness of RTM) for each site will be ol year, and a continuum of e followed for each site. The ag services to provide student	Continue; key actions will be based on previous year's evaluation.	Continue; key actions will be based on previous year's evaluation.		

District academic counselors, clinical counselors, and ERMHS; and will coordinate the support services of CUSD School Liaison Officer for Navy Region Southwest, CUSD Military Life Consultants (MFLCs), Coronado SAFE, and CUSD School Resource Officer from the Coronado Police Department, to address the social emotional needs of CUSD students.

C. Information on available local services for supporting staff will be offered annually by Human Resources.

BUDGETED EXPENDITURES

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$750,000	Amount	\$750,000	Amount	\$750,000
Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE	Source	LCFF BASE
Budget Reference	Resources: 0000000, 0200510, 0200550, 6391000, 9010110 Objects: 1200001, 2200001, 2900001 Operating Units: 600, 601, 810, 900	Budget Reference	Resources: 0000000, 0200510, 0200550, 6391000, 9010110 Objects: 1200001, 2200001, 2900001 Operating Units: 600, 601, 810, 900	Budget Reference	Resources: 0000000, 0200510, 0200550, 6391000, 9010110 Objects: 1200001, 2200001, 2900001 Operating Units: 600, 601, 810, 900

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils

LCAP Year	☐ 2017–18 ☐ 2018–19 ☐ 2019–20					
Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds:		\$ 400,000	Percentage to Increase or Improve Services:	1.8 %		
Describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage identified above, either qualitatively or quantitatively, as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year.						
Identify each action/service being funded and provided on a schoolwide or LEA-wide basis. Include the required descriptions supporting each schoolwide or LEA-						

- English Language Resource Teachers 2.03% FTE to provide daily English Language Development instruction to English Learners.
- Professional development on ELA/ELD standards and adopted District ELA/ELD curricula.
- .5 FTE Teacher on Special Assignment for Mathematics to support all schools, particularly identified students who are not meeting grade level standards in mathematics.
- Academic Support and Enrichment and/or other District intervention services.

wide use of funds (see instructions).

• Instructional materials/curricula to support identified unduplicated students' needs.

DRAFT Revised Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Instructions

Addendum

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template documents and communicates local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support student outcomes and overall performance. The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required. Charter schools may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each student group identified by the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) (ethnic, socioeconomically disadvantaged, English learners, foster youth, pupils with disabilities, and homeless youth), for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF student group funded through the county office of education (students attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or expelled under certain conditions) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services funded by a school district that are provided to students attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in Education Code (EC) sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

Charter schools must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF subgroup of students including students with disabilities and homeless youth, for each of the state priorities that apply for the grade levels served or the nature of the program operated by the charter school, and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the EC. Changes in LCAP goals and actions/services for charter schools that result from the annual update process do not necessarily constitute a material revision to the school's charter petition.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

Plan Summary
Annual Update
Stakeholder Engagement
Goals, Actions, and Services
Planned Actions/Services

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to completion of the LCAP template, please contact the local county office of education, or the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office at: 916-319-0809 or by email at: lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Summary

The LCAP is intended to reflect an LEA's annual goals, actions, services and expenditures within a fixed three-year planning cycle. LEAs must include a plan summary for the LCAP each year.

When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and address the prompts provided in these sections. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous summary information with information relevant to the current year LCAP.

In this section, briefly address the prompts provided. These prompts are not limits. LEAs may include information regarding local program(s), community demographics, and the overall vision of the LEA. LEAs may also attach documents (e.g., the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics data reports) if desired and/or include charts illustrating goals, planned outcomes, actual outcomes, or related planned and actual expenditures.

An LEA may use an alternative format for the plan summary as long as it includes the information specified in each prompt and the budget summary table.

The reference to LCFF Evaluation Rubrics means the evaluation rubrics adopted by the State Board of Education under *EC* Section 52064.5.

Budget Summary

The LEA must complete the LCAP Budget Summary table as follows:

- Total LEA General Fund Budget Expenditures for the LCAP Year: This amount is the LEA's total budgeted General Fund expenditures for the LCAP year. The LCAP year means the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or updated by July 1. The General Fund is the main operating fund of the LEA and accounts for all activities not accounted for in another fund. All activities are reported in the General Fund unless there is a compelling reason to account for an activity in another fund. For further information please refer to the California School Accounting Manual (http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/). (Note: For some charter schools that follow governmental fund accounting, this amount is the total budgeted expenditures in the Charter Schools Special Revenue Fund. For charter schools that follow the not-for-profit accounting model, this amount is total budgeted expenses, such as those budgeted in the Charter Schools Enterprise Fund.)
- Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for the LCAP Year:
 This amount is the total of the budgeted expenditures associated with the actions/services included for the LCAP year from all sources of funds, as reflected in the LCAP. To the extent actions/services and/or expenditures are listed in the LCAP under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.
- Description of any use(s) of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year
 not included in the LCAP: Briefly describe expenditures included in total General Fund Expenditures that
 are not included in the total funds budgeted for planned actions/services for the LCAP year. (Note: The total
 funds budgeted for planned actions/services may include funds other than general fund expenditures.)

Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year: This amount is the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA
estimates it will receive pursuant to EC sections 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools) and 2574
(for county offices of education), as implemented by EC sections 42238.03 and 2575 for the LCAP year
respectively.

Annual Update

The planned goals, expected outcomes, actions/services, and budgeted expenditures must be copied verbatim from the previous year's* approved LCAP. Minor typographical errors may be corrected.

* For example, for LCAP year 2017/18 of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP, review the goals in the 2016/17 LCAP. Moving forward, review the goals from the most recent LCAP year. For example, LCAP year 2020/21 will review goals from the 2019/20 LCAP year, which is the last year of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

For each goal in the prior year, identify and review the actual measurable outcomes as compared to the expected annual measurable outcomes identified in the prior year for the goal.

Actions/Services

Identify the planned Actions/Services and the budgeted expenditures to implement these actions toward achieving the described goal. Identify the **actual** actions/services implemented to meet the described goal and the estimated actual annual expenditures to implement the actions/services. As applicable, identify any changes to the students or student groups served, or to the planned location of the actions/services provided.

Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, analyze whether the planned actions/services were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal. Include a
 discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
- Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures or a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, students, and other stakeholders, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. *EC* identifies the minimum consultation requirements for school districts and county offices of education as consulting with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the school district, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. *EC* requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. In addition, *EC* Section 48985 specifies the requirements for the translation of notices, reports, statements, or records sent to a parent or guardian.

The LCAP should be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet specific goals.

Instructions: The stakeholder engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. The requirements for this section are the same for each year of a three-year LCAP. When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the LCAP and Annual Update. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous stakeholder narrative(s) and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the current year LCAP and Annual Update.

School districts and county offices of education: Describe the process used to consult with the Parent Advisory Committee, the English Learner Parent Advisory Committee, parents, students, school personnel, the LEA's local bargaining units, and the community to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

Charter schools: Describe the process used to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

Describe how the consultation process impacted the development of the LCAP and annual update for the indicated LCAP year, including the goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Goals, Actions, and Services

LEAs must include a description of the annual goals, for all students and each LCFF identified group of students, to be achieved for each state priority as applicable to type of LEA. An LEA may also include additional local priorities. This section shall also include a description of the specific planned actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions.

School districts and county offices of education: The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required.

Charter schools: The number of years addressed in the LCAP may align with the term of the charter schools budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

New, Modified, Unchanged

As part of the LCAP development process, which includes the annual update and stakeholder engagement, indicate if the goal, identified need, related state and/or local priorities, and/or expected annual measurable outcomes for the current LCAP year or future LCAP years are modified or unchanged from the previous year's LCAP; or, specify if the goal is new.

Goal

State the goal. LEAs may number the goals using the "Goal #" box for ease of reference. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all actions/services are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the LEA seeking to achieve?

Related State and/or Local Priorities

Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as applicable to the type of LEA, and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities. (<u>Link to State Priorities</u>)

Identified Need

Describe the needs that led to establishing the goal. The identified needs may be based on quantitative or qualitative information, including, but not limited to, results of the annual update process or performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) or indicator(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data as reported in the annual update of the LCAP year immediately preceding the three-year plan, as applicable. The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP. In the subsequent year columns, identify the progress to be made in each year of the three-year cycle of the LCAP. Consider how expected outcomes in any given year are related to the expected outcomes for subsequent years.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative, but at minimum an LEA must use the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. For the student engagement priority metrics, as applicable, LEAs must calculate the rates as described in the LCAP Template Appendix,sections (a) through (d).

Planned Actions/Services

For each action/service, the LEA must complete either the section "For Actions/Services not contributing to meeting Increased or Improved Services Requirement" or the section "For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement." The LEA shall not complete both sections for a single action.

For Actions/Services Not Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement

Students to be Served

The "Students to be Served" box is to be completed for all actions/services except for those which are included by the LEA as contributing to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated students. Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the actions/services by checking "All", "Students with Disabilities", or "Specific Student Group(s)". If "Specific Student Group(s)" is checked, identify the specific student group(s) as appropriate.

Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

Charter schools operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:

Students to be Served

For any action/service contributing to the LEA's overall demonstration that it has increased or improved services for unduplicated students above what is provided to all students (see <u>Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students</u> section, below), the LEA must identify the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Scope of Service

For each action/service contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, identify scope of service by indicating "LEA-wide", "Schoolwide", or "Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)". The LEA must select one of the following three options:

- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of the LEA, place a check mark next to "LEA-wide."
- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of a particular school or schools, place a check mark next to "schoolwide".
- If the action/service being funded and provided is limited to the unduplicated students identified in "Students to be Served", place a check mark next to "Limited to Student Groups".

For charter schools and single-school school districts, "LEA-wide" and "Schoolwide" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. For charter schools operating multiple schools (determined by a unique CDS code) under a single charter, use "LEA-wide" to refer to all schools under the charter and use "Schoolwide" to refer to a single school authorized within the same charter petition. Charter schools operating a single school may use "LEA-wide" or "Schoolwide" provided these terms are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

Charter schools operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

Actions/Services

For each LCAP year, identify the actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions and services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal may be grouped together. LEAs may number the action/service using the "Action #" box for ease of reference.

New/Modified/Unchanged:

- Check "New" if the action/service is being added in any of the three years of the LCAP to meet the
 articulated goal.
- Check "Modified" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
- Check "Unchanged" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has not been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
 - o If a planned action/service is anticipated to remain unchanged for the duration of the plan, an LEA may check "Unchanged" and leave the subsequent year columns blank rather than having to copy/paste the action/service into the subsequent year columns. Budgeted expenditures may be treated in the same way as applicable.

Note: The goal from the prior year may or may not be included in the current three-year LCAP. For example, when developing year 1 of the LCAP, the goals articulated in year 3 of the preceding three-year LCAP will be from the prior year.

Charter schools may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer. Accordingly, a charter school submitting a one-year budget to its authorizer may choose not to complete the year 2 and year 3 portions of the "Goals, Actions, and Services" section of the template. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

Budgeted Expenditures

For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by *EC* sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Expenditures that are included more than once in an LCAP must be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and action/service where the expenditure first appears in the LCAP.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, and chooses to complete a single LCAP, the LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted expenditures are aligned.

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students

This section must be completed for each LCAP year. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, copy the "Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students" table and mark the appropriate LCAP year. Using the copy of the table, complete the table as required for the current year LCAP. Retain all prior year tables for this section for each of the three years within the LCAP.

Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds

Identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students as determined pursuant to *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 (5 *CCR*) Section 15496(a)(5).

Percentage to Increase or Improve Services

Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 *CCR* Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. This description must address how the action(s)/service(s) limited for one or more unduplicated student group(s), and any schoolwide or districtwide action(s)/service(s) supported by the appropriate description, taken together, result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils.

If the overall increased or improved services include any actions/services being funded and provided on a schoolwide or districtwide basis, identify each action/service and include the required descriptions supporting each action/service as follows.

For those services being provided on an LEA-wide basis:

- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55% or more, and for charter schools and county offices of education: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55%: Describe how these services are
 principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local
 priorities. Also describe how the services are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its
 unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting
 research, experience or educational theory.

For school districts only, identify in the description those services being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis:

- For schools with 40% or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40% enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and how the services are **the most effective use of the funds to** meet its goals for English learners, low income students and foster youth, in the state and any local priorities.

State Priorities

Priority 1: Basic Services addresses the degree to which:

- A. Teachers in the LEA are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching:
- B. Pupils in the school district have sufficient access to the standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- C. School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Priority 2: Implementation of State Standards addresses:

- A. The implementation of state board adopted academic content and performance standards for all students, which are:
 - a. English Language Arts Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for English Language Arts
 - b. Mathematics CCSS for Mathematics
 - c. English Language Development (ELD)
 - d. Career Technical Education
 - e. Health Education Content Standards
 - f. History-Social Science
 - g. Model School Library Standards
 - h. Physical Education Model Content Standards
 - i. Next Generation Science Standards
 - j. Visual and Performing Arts
 - k. World Language; and
- B. How the programs and services will enable English learners to access the CCSS and the ELD standards for purposes of gaining academic content knowledge and English language proficiency.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement addresses:

- A. The efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each individual school site:
- B. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for unduplicated pupils; and
- C. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for individuals with exceptional needs.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Statewide assessments:
- B. The Academic Performance Index:
- C. The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) entrance requirements, or programs of study that align with state board approved career technical educational standards and framework;
- D. The percentage of English learner pupils who make progress toward English proficiency as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT);
- E. The English learner reclassification rate;
- F. The percentage of pupils who have passed an advanced placement examination with a score of 3 or higher; and
- G. The percentage of pupils who participate in, and demonstrate college preparedness pursuant to, the Early Assessment Program, or any subsequent assessment of college preparedness.

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. School attendance rates;
- B. Chronic absenteeism rates:
- C. Middle school dropout rates;
- D. High school dropout rates; and
- E. High school graduation rates:

Priority 6: School Climate as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Pupil suspension rates;
- B. Pupil expulsion rates; and
- C. Other local measures, including surveys of pupils, parents, and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness.

Priority 7: Course Access addresses the extent to which pupils have access to and are enrolled in:

- A. S broad course of study including courses described under EC sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable;
- B. Programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils; and
- C. Programs and services developed and provided to individuals with exceptional needs.

Priority 8: Pupil Outcomes addresses pupil outcomes, if available, for courses described under *EC* sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable.

Priority 9: Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (COE Only) addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate instruction of expelled pupils.

Priority 10. Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (COE Only) addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate services for foster children, including:

- A. Working with the county child welfare agency to minimize changes in school placement
- B. Providing education-related information to the county child welfare agency to assist in the delivery of services to foster children, including educational status and progress information that is required to be included in court reports;
- C. Responding to requests from the juvenile court for information and working with the juvenile court to ensure the delivery and coordination of necessary educational services; and
- D. Establishing a mechanism for the efficient expeditious transfer of health and education records and the health and education passport.

Local Priorities address:

- A. Local priority goals; and
- B. Methods for measuring progress toward local goals.

APPENDIX A: PRIORITIES 5 AND 6 RATE CALCULATION INSTRUCTIONS

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under *EC* sections 52060 and 52066, as applicable to type of LEA, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in 5 CCR Section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

- (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
- (3) Divide (1) by (2).

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, *Education Code*. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.6, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060, 52061, 52062, 52063, 52064, 52066, 52067, 52068, 52069, 52070, 52070.5, and 64001,; 20 U.S.C. Sections 6312 and 6314.

APPENDIX B: GUIDING QUESTIONS

Guiding Questions: Annual Review and Analysis

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Guiding Questions: Stakeholder Engagement

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in EC Section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to *EC* sections 52062, 52068, or 47606.5, as applicable, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in *EC* Section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR Section 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Guiding Questions: Goals, Actions, and Services

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning": Basic Services (Priority 1), the Implementation of State Standards (Priority 2), and Course Access (Priority 7)?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes": Pupil Achievement (Priority 4), Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8), Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (Priority 9 COE Only), and Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (Priority 10 COE Only)?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement": Parental Involvement (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement (Priority 5), and School Climate (Priority 6)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in *EC* Section 42238.01 and groups as defined in *EC* Section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in EC Section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

Prepared by the California Department of Education, October 2016